Prepared for submission to JHEP Imperial-TP-17-AH-01

arXiv:1701.03825v1 [hep-th] 13 Jan 2017

Coulomb Branch for A-type Balanced Quivers in 3d $\mathcal{N} = 4$ gauge theories

Gong Cheng* **Amihay Hanany**** **Yabo Li*** **Yidi Zhao***

*Department of Modern Physics, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, Anhui 230026, China

**Theoretical Physics Group, The Blackett Laboratory, Imperial College London, Prince Consort Road, London, SW7 2AZ, UK

E-mail: cghope@mail.ustc.edu.cn, a.hanany@imperial.ac.uk, liyb@mail.ustc.edu.cn, zyd2117@mail.ustc.edu.cn

Abstract: We study Coulomb branch moduli spaces of a class of three dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 4$ gauge theories whose quiver satisfies the balance condition. The Coulomb branch is described by dressed monopole operators which can be counted using the Monopole formula. We mainly focus on A-type quivers in this paper, using Hilbert Series to study their moduli spaces, and present the interesting pattern which emerges. All of these balanced A-type quiver gauge theories can be realized on brane intervals in Type IIB string theory, where mirror symmetry acts by exchanging the five branes and induces an equivalence between Coulomb branch and Higgs branch of mirror pairs. For each theory, we explicitly discuss the gauge invariant generators on the Higgs branch and the relations they satisfy. Finally, some analysis on D_4 balanced quivers also presents an interesting structure of their moduli spaces.

Contents

1 Introduction **2**

2 Mirror symmetry **3**

3 Hilbert Series and Plethystic Logarithm **4**

4 Three kinds of relations **5**
- 4.1 Trace relation 5
- 4.2 Matrix relation 7
- 4.3 Meson relation 8

5 A_2-type quiver **9**
- 5.1 General pattern 9
- 5.2 Why no meson relation? 10
- 5.3 Examples 10
  - 5.3.1 Quiver [2]-(2)-(2)-[2] 10
  - 5.3.2 Quiver [3]-(3)-(3)-[3] 12
- 5.4 Why matrix relation terminates? 14
  - 5.4.1 Quiver [3]-(3)-(3)-[3] 14
  - 5.4.2 Quiver [2]-(3)-(4)-[5] 15
  - 5.4.3 Quiver [1]-(3)-(5)-[7] 15
  - 5.4.4 Quiver (3)-(6)-[9] 15
  - 5.4.5 General case 16

6 General A_n-type quiver: an attempt of complete description **16**
- 6.1 General description 16
- 6.2 One generator case 16
- 6.3 General case 17
  - 6.3.1 Bare pattern: Quiver 242-246 17
  - 6.3.2 Modified pattern: Quiver 232-234 19
- 6.4 Do we have predictability? 20

7 Balanced D-type quiver **21**
- 7.1 2211 21
- 7.2 2322 22

A Details of calculations **25**
- A.1 Quiver [2]-(2)-(2)-[2] 25
- A.2 Quiver [3]-(3)-(3)-[3] 26
- A.3 Quiver 245-246 29
- A.4 Quiver 232-234 29
- A.5 Quiver 343-345 30
- A.6 Quiver [3]-(3)-(3)-(3)-[3] 31
- A.7 Higgs branch of $[SO(8)] - C_2 - SO(2)$ 31

B Results of some A_n-type quiver **32**

1 Introduction

An infinite class of 3d gauge theories with $\mathcal{N} = 4$ supersymmetry can be realized by using the specific brane and orientifold configurations in M-theory and Type IIB string theory. They can be described by quiver diagrams which encode the essential information like gauge symmetry as well as the representation under which the fields in the theory transform. In this article, we consider the quivers with an unitary gauge group on each gauge node.

The balance of a gauge node $U(N_i)$ in a simply laced ADE Series quiver is defined as [7]:

$$\text{Balance}ADE(i) = -2N_i + \sum_{j\in\text{adjacent nodes}} N_j \tag{1.1}$$

If all gauge nodes in a quiver have a balance of zero, the quiver is termed balanced.

We mainly focus on A-type balanced quivers and study their Coulomb branch moduli space by finding all the generators and relations. Those generators and relations are present in the form of matrices composed of quarks in the mirror theory Higgs branch. We analyze the pattern of the relations and try to make a general description.

The moduli space of the theory can be viewed as the complex varieties described by the chiral ring of holomorphic functions. One can read the information of the moduli space from its Hilbert Series which enumerates the gauge invariant BPS operators of chiral ring. Recently, a new efficient technique was proposed in [5] to calculate the series, i.e. the monopole formula:

$$\text{HS}(t,z) = \sum_{m\in\Lambda(\hat{G})/W(\hat{G})} z^{J(m)} t^{\Delta(m)} P_G(t,m) \tag{1.2}$$

$\Delta(m)$ is the conformal dimension or R-charge of monopole operators, which was calculated using radial quantization in [2]. We quote the result here:

$$\Delta(m) = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{\rho_i\in R_i}|\rho_i(m)| - \sum_{\alpha\in\Delta_+}|\alpha(m)| \tag{1.3}$$

m denotes the magnetic charge which takes value in the quotient of dual weight lattice by Weyl group. $J(m)$ is the topological charge, and is counted by fugacity z. P_G is the generating function of Casimir invariants which plays the role of dressing factor. The first term of conformal dimension formula (1.3) comes from the hypermultiplets that transform in representation ρ_i. The second term accounts for the vector multiplets, and Δ_+ is the set of positive roots of the gauge group. The details of monopole formula can be found in [9] and [5].

It was discussed in [9] the method of dividing weight lattice into fans in order to sum up infinite terms. We found an algorithm that generalizes this method to high dimensions. This allows the computation for quivers with many gauge nodes.

The outline of this paper is as follows. In section 2 and 3 we review the method for finding the mirror and reading the relations from Hilbert Series. In section 4 we introduce three kinds of relations of A-type balanced quiver. In section 5 we begin the analysis of A_2 balanced quiver and introduce

the tool we adopt. In section 6 we use this method to study the general A_n-type balanced quiver and make prediction of some A_4-type quiver where the HS is difficult to calculate. Finally, we put some results of D-type balanced quiver in the section 7.

2 Mirror symmetry

The A-type quiver gauge theory can be realized in the brane configuration discussed in [10]. Specifically, it is probed by observer living in the D3 brane which moves in the interval of 5-branes, and therefore is (2+1) dimensional. Each of these configurations could be described by a pair of partitions (ρ, σ), and was denoted by $T^{\sigma}_{\rho}(G)$ in [4].

At the fixed point of these SYM theories, an action called mirror symmetry [11] exchanges the Higgs branch and Coulomb branch of a mirror pair. Generally, in the 3d $\mathcal{N} = 4$ gauge theory, these two branches are both hyper-Kähler spaces. The Higgs branch is protected from quantum correction and therefore the classical description is enough, while Coulomb branch does receive quantum correction and is described by dressed monopole operators.

Mirror symmetry acts on brane configuration by exchanging D5 brane and NS5 brane, with their linking number also exchanged. The linking number is conserved quantity of each 5-brane in brane transitions. The definition is:

$$\begin{aligned} L_{NS} &= \frac{1}{2}(r-l) + (L-R) \\ L_{D} &= \frac{1}{2}(r-l) + (L-R) \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

For each NS5 (D5) brane, r denotes the number of D5 (NS5) branes to the right of this NS5 (D5) brane, l denotes the number of D5 (NS5) branes to the left of NS5 (D5) brane. The second term is the net number of D3 brane ending on each 5-brane, from left minus from right.

When all the D5 branes are to the one side of all the NS5 branes, we only need to count for the net number of D3 branes to keep track of the linking numbers. In these configuration, $(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \ldots, \sigma_l)$ corresponds to the net number of D3 branes on each D5 brane from interior to exterior, and $(\rho_1, \rho_2, \ldots, \rho_{l'})$ corresponds to the net number of D3 branes on each NS5 brane from interior to exterior. Given the data of ρ and σ, one can recover the quiver diagram in the following way:

Suppose that $\rho = (\rho_1, \ldots, \rho_{l'})$ and $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_l)$ are two partitions of N, which satisfies:

$$\sigma_1 \geq \ldots \geq \sigma_l > 0, \quad \rho_1 \geq \ldots \geq \rho_{l'} > 0, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{l} \sigma_i = \sum_{i=1}^{l'} \rho_i = N \tag{2.2}$$

The quiver diagram for $T^{\sigma}_{\rho}(SU(N))$ is as follows:



Figure 1. General A-type Quiver

l and l' are the length of partition σ and ρ, $\hat{l}$ is the length of transpose of σ.

$$\begin{aligned} M_j =& \sigma_j^T - \sigma_{j+1}^T, \text{ with } \sigma_i^T = 0 \text{ for } i \geq \hat{l} + 1 \\ N_j =& \sum_{k=j+1}^{l'} \rho_k - \sum_{i=j+1}^{\hat{l}} \sigma_i^T \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

We can get ρ and σ using the above equations. Then finding the mirror can easily be done by exchanging ρ and σ, corresponding to exchanging of the linking number of 5-branes.

3 Hilbert Series and Plethystic Logarithm

The Hilbert Series (HS) is a partition function counting BPS operators in the chiral ring according to their charges in different symmetry groups. For example, a (dressed) monopole operator is characterized by its topological charge $J(m)$ and conformal dimension $\Delta(m)$, and appears in HS in the form of $z^{J(m)}t^{\Delta(m)}$. The generators of the chiral ring can be conveniently read from the Plethystic Logarithm in [1].

The Plethystic Logarithm (PL) is the reverse operation of Plethystic Exponential, which generates the symmetric products of different orders. It can be calculated iteratively if we know the expansion of Hilbert Series.

For example, the unrefined Hilbert Series of quiver (1)-(2)-[3] is

$$\text{HS}_{(1)-(2)-[3]} = 1 + 8t + 35t^2 + 111t^3 + ... \tag{3.1}$$

Then we try to find whose symmetric products give this expression. From the first order term, we know that $\text{PL} = 8t + O(t^2)$, but $sym^2[8t] = 36t^2$. So we need a term $-t^2$ in the PL to compensate and get the correct $35t^2$ in the HS. So we know that $\text{PL} = 8t - t^2 + O(t^3)$. One can do this order by order and finally gets $\text{PL} = 8t - t^2 - t^3$.

The refined version of this process gives the Plethystic Logarithm of refined Hilbert Series as:

$$\text{PL} = (2 + z_1 + z_2 + \frac{1}{z_1} + \frac{1}{z_2} + z_1 z_2 + \frac{1}{z_1 z_2})t - t^2 - t^3 \tag{3.2}$$

The first several positive terms are generators. Their topological charges constitute the weights of adjoint representation of SU(3) group, the enhanced topological symmetry in the original theory and flavour symmetry in the mirror theory perspective. The weights are measured by the basis of simple roots, i.e. z_1 and z_2 correspond to the two simple roots of SU(3). Therefore, in order to write it in the standard form of SU(3) characters, one need to do a transformation using Cartan matrix in [5] to shift the basis from simple roots to fundamental weights in Weyl chamber. In our subsequent results of Hilbert Series or PL, we will denote the character using Dynkin label. So in this example, it is represented as $\text{PL} = [11]t - t^2 - t^3$.

The negative terms represent relations, and they transform in singlet representation. These information helps people finding the concrete form of relations.

In summary, we first calculate the Hilbert Series using monopole formula, and then take Plethystic Logarithm of it and learn how the relations transform under the enhanced symmetry. Finally, we come to the Higgs branch of the mirror theory, which do not receive quantum correction, and make use of F-term to find the concrete form of relations.



Figure 2. Mirror quiver 1-2-3-2-1

4 Three kinds of relations

A general A-type quiver satisfies three kinds of relations, i.e. matrix relation, trace relation and meson relation. The first two types of relations, matrix and trace relations, only rely on the shape of mirror quiver.

Suppose we have k generators:

$$M_1 = du, \quad M_2 = dr_1l_1u, \quad \ldots\ldots \quad , \; M_k = dr_1r_2\ldots l_2l_1u \tag{4.1}$$

The relations they satisfy can be read from F-terms:

$$\begin{aligned} ud + r_1l_1 + L_1R_1 &= 0 \\ r_2l_2 - l_1r_1 &= 0 \\ l_2r_2 &= 0 \\ R_1L_1 - L_2R_2 &= 0 \\ R_2L_2 &= 0 \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

r and l are the edges of short arm of mirror quiver, R and L are those of long arm of mirror quiver (as shown in the above figure). Using the F-term relations, we can turn all the r_il_i to r_1l_1. For example, $dr_1r_2l_2l_1u = dr_1l_1r_1l_1u$. In the following several parts, we will discuss these three kinds of relations separately.

4.1 Trace relation

Proposition: the Nth order trace relation is

$$\mathrm{Tr}(\Sigma_\lambda \frac{1}{m_\lambda} M_{i_1}M_{i_2}\ldots M_{i_r}) = 0 \tag{4.3}$$

We denote $\lambda' = \{i'_1, i'_2 ... i'_r\}$ as all the possible partition of N (not ordered) that satisfies $i'_1 + i'_2 + \cdots + i'_r = N$, with $i'_s \leq k$, where k is the number of generators. Then $\lambda = \{i_1, i_2 ... i_r\}$ is the quotient of λ' by cyclic group. e.g. $\lambda' = \{1, 2, 3\}$ is identified with $\lambda' = \{2, 3, 1\}$ to give $\lambda = \{1, 2, 3\}$. m_λ is the power of monomial $M_{i_1}M_{i_2}\ldots M_{i_r}$ when $M_{i_1}M_{i_2}\ldots M_{i_r}$ can be written as $(M_{i_1}M_{i_2}\ldots M_{i_s})^{m_\lambda}$, for some $s < r$.

This relation was conjectured in [8].

To see an example, consider the 6th order trace relation with 2 generators. It is

$$\mathrm{Tr}(\frac{1}{6}{M_1}^6 + \frac{1}{3}{M_2}^3 + {M_2}^2{M_1}^2 + \frac{1}{2}(M_2M_1)^2 + M_2{M_1}^4) = 0 \tag{4.4}$$

The proof of the proposition is as follows.

Proof: Degree i generator:

$$M_i = d_1 r_1 ... r_i l_i ... l_1 u_1 = d_1 (r_1 l_1)^{i-1} u_1 \tag{4.5}$$

We consider trace of product of generators in degree N:

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathrm{Tr}(M_i M_j M_k ...) \\ =&\mathrm{Tr}((r_1 l_1)^{i-1} u_1 d_1 (r_1 l_1)^{j-1} u_1 d_1 (r_1 l_1)^{k-1} u_1 d_1 ... u_1 d_1) \\ =&\mathrm{Tr}((r_1 l_1)^{i-1} (-L_1 R_1 - r_1 l_1)(r_1 l_1)^{j-1} (-L_1 R_1 - r_1 l_1)(r_1 l_1)^{k-1} \\ &(-L_1 R_1 - r_1 l_1) ... (-L_1 R_1 - r_1 l_1)) \end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

That is to say,

$$\mathrm{Tr}(M...) = \mathrm{Tr}(\text{combinations of } (-L_1 R_1 - r_1 l_1) \text{ and } r_1 l_1) \tag{4.7}$$

We add up all the possible degree N terms of this form, and name it S:

$$S = \mathrm{Tr}(r_1 l_1 ... r_1 l_1) + ... + \mathrm{Tr}((-L_1 R_1 - r_1 l_1) ... (-L_1 R_1 - r_1 l_1)) \tag{4.8}$$

S can also be written by combination of traces of products of generators:

$$\begin{aligned} S =& N \mathrm{Tr}(M_N) + N \sum_{1 \le s_1 ... \le s_m \le N-1} \delta(N - \sum_{i=1}^{m} s_i) \widehat{\sum_{\sigma \in S_m}} \frac{\mathrm{Tr}(\prod_{i=1}^{m} M_{s_{\sigma(i)}})}{\mathcal{M}(\mathrm{Tr}(\prod_{i=1}^{m} M_{s_{\sigma(i)}}))} \\ &+ \sum_{1 \le r \le \frac{N}{2}, r|N} r \sum_{1 \le s_1 ... \le s_m \le r} \delta(r - \sum_{j=1}^{l} s_j) \widehat{\sum_{\rho \in S_l}} \frac{\mathrm{Tr}[(\prod_{j=1}^{l} M_{s_{\rho(j)}})^{N/r}]}{\mathcal{M}(\mathrm{Tr}(\prod_{j=1}^{l} M_{s_{\rho(j)}})^{N/r})} \\ &+ \mathrm{Tr}(r_1 l_1 r_1 l_1 ... r_1 l_1) \end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

where $\widehat{\sum_{\sigma \in S_m}}$ denotes the summation over $\sigma \in S_m$ such that $\prod_{i=1}^{m} M_{s_{\sigma(i)}}$ is not an operator with an integer power greater than 1, and $\mathcal{M}(\mathrm{Tr}(\prod_{i=1}^{m} M_{s_{\sigma(i)}}))$ denotes the multiplicity of $\mathrm{Tr}(\prod_{i=1}^{m} M_{s_{\sigma(i)}})$.

According to the F-terms, the last term equals to 0. And now we are able to prove that S equals to 0.

S is the trace of the sum of all possible terms combining $(-L_1 R_1 - r_1 l_1)$ and $r_1 l_1$. We expand the parenthesis, then it's a polynomial of $L_1 R_1$ and $r_1 l_1$.

We first consider terms without $L_1 R_1$. According to F-terms, these terms equal to 0.

Now we consider terms with one $L_1 R_1$. All these terms are in same configuration, because of the rotation invariance of trace. (# of rotations of the configuration) $= N$.

$$\begin{aligned} (\#\text{ of terms}) &= (\#\text{ of rotations of the configuration}) \cdot \sum_{k=1}^{N} (-1)^{k-1} C_{N-1}^{k-1} \\ &= N(1-1)^{N-1} = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

Then we consider terms with two consecutive $L_1 R_1$. In this case we also have (# of rotations of the configuration) $= N$.

$$\begin{aligned} (\#\text{ of terms}) &= (\#\text{ of rotations of the configuration}) \cdot \sum_{k=2}^{N} (-1)^{k-2} C_{N-2}^{k-2} \\ &= N(1-1)^{N-2} = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

Similarly, we can compute the number of terms in arbitrary configuration(with n L_1R_1), and find out it's 0:

$$\begin{aligned}(\#\text{ of terms}) &= (\#\text{ of rotations of the configuration}) \cdot \sum_{k=n}^{N}(-1)^{k-n}C_{N-n}^{k-n} \\ &= (\#\text{ of rotations of the configuration}) \cdot (1-1)^{N-n} = 0\end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

Finally, there are some terms which are purely the products of N L_1R_1, but as our first case, they equal to 0 because of the F-terms.

Now we know that each term of S equals to 0, then $S = 0$. Therefore we conclude that:

$$\begin{aligned}0 =& N\mathrm{Tr}(M_N) + N \sum_{1\le s_1 \dots \le s_m \le N-1} \delta(N - \sum_{i=1}^{m} s_i) \widehat{\sum_{\sigma\in S_m}} \frac{\mathrm{Tr}(\prod_{i=1}^{m} M_{s_\sigma(i)})}{\mathcal{M}(\mathrm{Tr}(\prod_{i=1}^{m} M_{s_\sigma(i)}))} \\ &+ \sum_{1\le r\le \frac{N}{2}, r|N} r \sum_{1\le s_1 \dots \le s_m \le r} \delta(r - \sum_{j=1}^{l} s_j) \widehat{\sum_{\rho\in S_l}} \frac{\mathrm{Tr}[(\prod_{j=1}^{l} M_{s_\rho(j)})^{N/r}]}{\mathcal{M}(\mathrm{Tr}(\prod_{j=1}^{l} M_{s_\rho(j)})^{N/r})}\end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

Let's have a quick check for the simplest case, N=2.

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathrm{Tr}(r_1l_1(-L_1R_1 - r_1l_1) + (-L_1R_1 - r_1l_1)r_1l_1 + (-L_1R_1 - r_1l_1)(-L_1R_1 \\ &- r_1l_1) + r_1l_1r_1l_1) \\ =&\mathrm{Tr}(-L_1R_1 - L_1R_1 + 0 \cdot r_1l_1r_1l_1 - 0 \cdot L_1R_1r_1l_1) = 0\end{aligned} \tag{4.14}$$

And,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Tr}(M_2) &= \mathrm{Tr}(r_1l_1(-L_1R_1 - r_1l_1)) \\ \mathrm{Tr}({M_1}^2) &= \mathrm{Tr}((-L_1R_1 - r_1l_1)(-L_1R_1 - r_1l_1))\end{aligned} \tag{4.15}$$

Therefore we have:

$$2\mathrm{Tr}(M_2) + \mathrm{Tr}({M_1}^2) = 0 \tag{4.16}$$

4.2 Matrix relation

The Nth order matrix relation is

$$\Sigma_{\lambda'} M_{i'_1} M_{i'_2} \dots M_{i'_r} = 0 \tag{4.17}$$

Again $\lambda' = \{i'_1, i'_2 ... i'_r\}$ is one of all the possible partitions of N (not ordered), with $i_s \le k$. This time we do not quotient it by the cyclic group.

Proposition: Suppose the length of the long arm of mirror quiver is l, there will be a matrix relation of the above form in each order starting from $(l+1)$th order.

Proof: Suppose we have k generators, and we want to prove nth $(n > k)$ order matrix relation.

First we enlarge the generators $\{M_1, M_2, \dots, M_k\}$ to a larger set $\{M_1, M_2, \dots, M_n\}$. For $s > k$, let

$$M_s = dr_1l_1r_1l_1 ... r_1l_1u = 0. \tag{4.18}$$

Note that the product of r_1l_1's, in which the number of r_1l_1 exceeds the length of short arm of mirror quiver, is zero. We claim that:

$$\Sigma_{\lambda'} M_{i'_1} M_{i'_2} \dots M_{i'_r} = (-1)^{n-1} dL_1R_1L_1R_1 \dots L_1R_1u \tag{4.19}$$

where $\lambda' = \{i'_1, i'_2 ... i'_r\}$ is a partition of n, with $i_r \leq n$.

Observe that

$$\Sigma_{\lambda'} M_{i'_1} M_{i'_2} \dots M_{i'_r} = d(\text{combinations of } r_1 l_1 \text{ and } -(r_1 l_1 + L_1 R_1))u \tag{4.20}$$

For example

$$\begin{aligned} & {M_1}^4 + M_2 {M_1}^2 + M_1 M_2 M_1 + {M_1}^2 M_2 + M_3 M_1 + M_1 M_3 + {M_2}^2 + M_4 \\ =& d(r_1 l_1 r_1 l_1 r_1 l_1 - (r_1 l_1 + L_1 R_1) r_1 l_1 r_1 l_1 - r_1 l_1 (r_1 l_1 + L_1 R_1) r_1 l_1 - r_1 l_1 r_1 l_1 (r_1 l_1 \\ & + L_1 R_1) + (r_1 l_1 + L_1 R_1)(r_1 l_1 + L_1 R_1) r_1 l_1 + (r_1 l_1 + L_1 R_1) r_1 l_1 (r_1 l_1 + L_1 R_1) \\ & + r_1 l_1 (r_1 l_1 + L_1 R_1)(r_1 l_1 + L_1 R_1) - (r_1 l_1 + L_1 R_1)(r_1 l_1 + L_1 R_1)(r_1 l_1 + L_1 R_1))u \end{aligned} \tag{4.21}$$

There should be 2^n terms. The strategy is to find 2^{n-1} pairs and pair them up to turn the rightmost term to $L_1 R_1$:

$$\begin{aligned} & d(-r_1 l_1 r_1 l_1 + r_1 l_1 (r_1 l_1 + L_1 R_1) - (r_1 l_1 + L_1 R_1)(r_1 l_1 + L_1 R_1) + (r_1 l_1 \\ & + L_1 R_1) r_1 l_1) L_1 R_1 u \end{aligned} \tag{4.22}$$

Then repeat the process to find 2^{n-2} pairs and pair them up to get:

$$d(r_1 l_1 - (r_1 l_1 + L_1 R_1)) L_1 R_1 L_1 R_1 u \tag{4.23}$$

and finally get:

$$-d(L_1 R_1 L_1 R_1 L_1 R_1) u \tag{4.24}$$

When the number of $L_1 R_1$'s exceeds the length of long arm of mirror quiver, the term $dL_1 R_1 L_1 R_1 ... L_1 R_1 u$ vanishes. This finishes the proof of the proposition.

4.3 Meson relation

In general, meson relation is referred to as the relation which involves the anti-symmetrization of upper and lower indices separately. For example, for A_3 the second meson relation $(M_1)_{[i}{}^{[j}(M_2)_{k]}{}^{l]}$ transforms in $[010]\times[010] = [020]+[101]+1$. For A_4, it transforms in $[0100]\times[0010] = [0110]+[1001]+1$. In general, the two indices of M are in the $[10...0]$ and $[0...01]$ respectively. Thus, the kth anti-symmetrization of lower indices give $[\underbrace{00\dots1}_{k}\dots0]$. The kth anti-symmetrization of up indices is $[0\dots\underbrace{1\dots00}_{k}]$.

If $M_1 = M_2 = M$, $(M_1)_{[i}{}^{[j}(M_2)_{k]}{}^{l]} = M_{[i}{}^{[j}M_{k]}{}^{l]}$ is just the 2 by 2 minor of M, and its vanishing means $\text{rank}(M) \leq 1$. We take A_3-type quiver [2]-(2)-(2)-(2)-[2] as an example. Its mirror has the following form.



Figure 3. Quiver 1-2-1

The generators are $M_1 = du$ and $M_2 = dr_1 l_1 u$.

Proposition: $(M_1)_{[i}{}^{[j}(M_2)_{k]}{}^{l]} = 0$

Proof:

$$(M_1)_i{}^j = d_i{}^\alpha u_\beta{}^j \delta_\alpha{}^\beta, i,j = 1,2,3,4; \alpha,\beta = 1,2;$$
$$(M_2)_k{}^l = d_k{}^\gamma u_\kappa{}^l Q_\gamma{}^\kappa, \text{where } Q_\gamma{}^\kappa = (r_1 l_1)_\gamma{}^\kappa = (r_1)_\gamma{}^1 (l_1)_1{}^\kappa$$

So

$$\begin{aligned}(M_1)_{[i}{}^{[j}(M_2)_{k]}{}^{l]} &= d_{[i}{}^\alpha d_{k]}{}^\gamma u_\beta{}^{[j} u_\kappa{}^{l]} \delta_\alpha{}^\beta Q_\gamma{}^\kappa \\ &= d_i{}^{[\alpha} d_k{}^{\gamma]} u_{[\beta}{}^j u_{\kappa]}{}^l \delta_\alpha{}^\beta Q_\gamma{}^\kappa \\ &= d_i{}^\alpha d_k{}^\gamma u_\beta{}^j u_\kappa{}^l \delta_{[\alpha}{}^{[\beta} Q_{\gamma]}{}^{\kappa]}\end{aligned} \tag{4.25}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_{[\alpha}{}^{[\beta} Q_{\gamma]}{}^{\kappa]} &= \epsilon_{\beta\kappa}\epsilon^{\alpha\gamma}\delta_\alpha{}^\beta Q_\gamma{}^\kappa \\ &= \delta_\kappa{}^\gamma Q_\gamma{}^\kappa \\ &= \mathrm{Tr}(Q)\end{aligned} \tag{4.26}$$

Using F-term, we have $\mathrm{Tr}(Q) = \mathrm{Tr}(r_1 l_1) = \mathrm{Tr}(l_1 r_1) = 0$. So $(M_1)_{[i}{}^{[j}(M_2)_{k]}{}^{l]} = 0$. Generator M_2 is of rank 1, so $(M_2)_{[i}{}^{[j}(M_2)_{k]}{}^{l]} = 0$. In sum, there are two meson relations: $(M_1)_{[i}{}^{[j}(M_2)_{k]}{}^{l]} = 0$ and $(M_2)_{[i}{}^{[j}(M_2)_{k]}{}^{l]} = 0$.

In addition to this kind of meson relation with the anti-symmetrization of two matrices, other meson relations involving more matrices also exist. For example, we consider quiver {{12221},{01010}}[1] whose mirror is Figure 4



Figure 4. Quiver 2-[6]

The generator M has rank 2, so one would expect a relation $M_{[i}{}^{[j} M_k{}^l M_{p]}{}^{q]} = 0$. It transforms in $\Lambda^3[10000] \times \Lambda^3[00001] = [00200] + [01010] + [10001] + [00000]$. This relation emerges at A_5 quiver, because for lower rank quiver like A_4, $\Lambda^3[1000] \times \Lambda^3[0001] = [0010] \times [0100] = \Lambda^2[1000] \times \Lambda^2[0001]$. In general, for every A_n-type quiver with n odd, a meson that transforms in a new representation emerges.

For higher degree generators, the meson relation can be proved iteratively (just as we get rid of outermost d and u and leave the anti-symmetrized inner part, one can continue to do this to get rid of the outer part).

5 A_2-type quiver

5.1 General pattern

The A_2-type quiver has a very clear pattern.[2] First, from the Hilbert Series, one conjectures that there are only trace relations (in singlet representation) and matrix relations (in adjoint represen-

[1] Meaning of this symbol: the first parenthesis contains the gauge nodes, and the second parenthesis contains the flavour nodes at the corresponding position.

[2] See Appendix B for the PL and relations of some A_2-type quivers.

tation). Indeed, we have given all the matrix and trace relations by the proposition proved above. By observation one can extract the pattern which is satisfied for general A_2 balanced quiver. In the following we denote the quiver by $\{k_1, k_2\}$ ($k_1 \leq k_2$, they are numbers in gauge nodes).

Pattern:

- The matrix relation starts at order $k_2 + 1$.
- The matrix relation terminates at order $2k_1$.
- The trace relation exists from first order to order $k_1 + k_2 - 1$ for non-complete intersection, and from first order to order $3k_1$ for complete intersection.

The first rule can be explained by the proof of matrix relation above. Recall that

$$\Sigma_{\lambda'} M_{i'_1} M_{i'_2} \dots M_{i'_r} = (-1)^{n-1} d \underbrace{L_1 R_1 L_1 R_1 \dots L_1 R_1}_{n-1} u \tag{5.1}$$

The right hand side is 0 when $n \geq k_2 + 1$. So the matrix relation starts at order $k_2 + 1$.

However, the second and third properties take some efforts to explain. It's clear that the higher order matrix relation doesn't show up because it is not independent from the previous ones. We will give the detailed explanation later.

5.2 Why no meson relation?

The adjoint representation in [1,1] can also be realized by second meson relation, like

$$(M_1)_{[i}{}^{[j}(M_2)_{k]}{}^{l]} = 0 \tag{5.2}$$

besides matrix relation. But we conjecture that it is equivalent to the matrix relation. Here is the argument: first, we show that the meson relation can always be contracted to give a matrix relation. For example, the second meson relation $(M_1)_{[i}{}^{[j}(M_2)_{k]}{}^{l]} = 0$ can be contracted to:

$$M_1 M_2 + M_2 M_1 - \mathrm{tr}(M_1) M_2 - \mathrm{tr}(M_2) M_1 = 0 \tag{5.3}$$

Subsequently, to avoid ambiguity, we will refer to the matrix relation proved in the proposition as the general matrix relation to distinguish from those gotten from contraction. It's clear that the number of d.o.f. of the contracted meson relation is the same as that of the original meson relation, as they are in the same representation [1,1]. Moreover, contracting some indices of a tensor gives a linear combination of its components. Therefore, we don't lose information after doing this contraction if the number of d.o.f. doesn't decrease. So if we want to prove that certain meson relation is equivalent to a matrix relation, we only need to contract the meson relation, and then prove the contracted relation is equivalent to the matrix relation. We see some examples in the following.

5.3 Examples

5.3.1 Quiver [2]-(2)-(2)-[2]

By the brane construction, the mirror quiver is: see figure 5. Now we focus on the Coulomb branch of [2]-(2)-(2)-[2] which corresponds to the Higgs branch of the mirror theory.

Plethystic Logarithm

$$\mathrm{PL} = [11]t + [11]t^2 - ([11] + 2)t^3 - ([11] + 1)t^4 + \dots \tag{5.4}$$



Figure 5. Mirror 1-2-1

The generators with spin 1, spin 2 are in the adjoint representation of SU(3).

Relations

From the PL one can write down the relations according to the representations that they belong to.

3rd order meson relation

$$(M_1)_{[i}{}^{[j}(M_2)_{k]}{}^{l]} = 0 \tag{5.5}$$

(For simplicity, we denote this by $M_1 * *M_2 = 0$.)

And

$$(M_1)_{[i}{}^{[j}(M_1)_{k}{}^{l}(M_1)_{p]}{}^{q]} = \mathrm{Det}(M_1) = 0 \tag{5.6}$$

After contraction, Eq.(5.5) becomes:

$$-\mathrm{Tr}(M_2)M_1 + M_1M_2 + M_2M_1 = 0 \tag{5.7}$$

Note that after contraction we have the same number of d.o.f as in Eq.(5.5), so they are equivalent.

3rd order general matrix relation

$$M_1{}^3 + M_1M_2 + M_2M_1 = 0 \tag{5.8}$$

3rd order general trace relation

$$\mathrm{Tr}(\frac{1}{3}M_1{}^3 + M_1M_2) = 0 \tag{5.9}$$

We claim that the 3rd order meson relations (Eq.(5.7) and Eq.(5.6)) can be derived from the 3rd order general matrix relation and trace relation. Details can be found in Appendix A.1.

4th order meson relation

$$M_2 * *M_2 = 0 \tag{5.10}$$

4th order general matrix relation

$$M_2{}^2 + M_1{}^4 + M_2M_1{}^2 + M_1M_2M_1 + M_1{}^2M_2 = 0 \tag{5.11}$$

The 4th order meson relation $M_2 * *M_2 = 0$ is equivalent to the matrix relation (5.11). The proof can be found in Appendix A.1.



Figure 6. Mirror 1-2-3-2-1

5.3.2 Quiver [3]-(3)-(3)-[3]

By brane construction, the mirror quiver is: see figure 6.

We focus on Coulomb branch of quiver [3]-(3)-(3)-[3], which corresponds to the Higgs branch of the mirror theory.

Plethystic Logarithm

$$\mathrm{PL} = [11]t + [11]t^2 + [11]t^3 - ([11]+2)t^4 - ([11]+2)t^5 - ([11]+1)t^6 + \dots \tag{5.12}$$

The three generators are of spin 1, spin 2, spin 3. They are all in the adjoint representation of SU(3).

Relations

4th order meson relation

$$M_1 * *M_1 * *M_2 = 0 \tag{5.13}$$

(See Appendix A.2 for the proof.)

Contracting it for three times

$$-\mathrm{Tr}({M_1}^2)\mathrm{Tr}(M_2) + 2\mathrm{Tr}({M_1}^2 M_2) = 0 \tag{5.14}$$

Note that the original meson relation $M_1 * *M_1 * *M_2 = 0$ just has one d.o.f. since the matrices are of 3×3. So relation (5.13) is equivalent to its contraction.

4th order general matrix relation

$${M_1}^4 + {M_2}^2 + (M_1 M_3 + M_3 M_1) + (M_2 {M_1}^2 + M_1 M_2 M_1 + {M_1}^2 M_2) = 0 \tag{5.15}$$

4th order general trace relation

$$\mathrm{Tr}(\frac{1}{4}{M_1}^4 + \frac{1}{2}{M_2}^2 + {M_1}^2 M_2 + M_1 M_3) = 0 \tag{5.16}$$

One can check that the general matrix and trace relations can be combined to deduce the relation (5.14), and hence the meson relation (5.13).

We can utilize (5.13) to extract more information for later use:

Contract it twice

$$-2\mathrm{Tr}({M_1}^2)M_2 - 4\mathrm{Tr}(M_1 M_2)M_1 + 4(M_2 {M_1}^2 + {M_1}^2 M_2 + M_1 M_2 M_1) - 4{M_1}^2 \mathrm{Tr} M_2 = 0 \tag{5.17}$$

Combine this with the general matrix relation (5.15), one gets

$$\frac{1}{2}M_2 * *M_2 + M_1 * *M_3 = 0 \tag{5.18}$$

This will be useful when we consider the 6th order relation.

5th order meson relations

$$\begin{aligned} M_1 * *M_1 * *M_3 &= 0 \\ M_2 * *M_2 * *M_1 &= 0 \\ M_2 * *M_3 &= 0 \end{aligned} \tag{5.19}$$

5th order general trace relation

$$\mathrm{Tr}(\frac{1}{5}{M_1}^5 + M_2{M_1}^3 + {M_2}^2 M_1 + M_2 M_3 + M_3{M_1}^2) = 0 \tag{5.20}$$

5th order general matrix relation

$$\begin{aligned} &{M_1}^5 + (M_2{M_1}^3 + permu.) + ({M_2}^2 M_1 + permu.) + (M_3 M_2 + M_2 M_3) \\ &+(M_3{M_1}^2 + permu.) = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{5.21}$$

permu. means the terms consist of all the possible permutations of M_1 and M_2, e.g.

$$({M_1}^2 M_2 + permu.) = {M_1}^2 M_2 + M_1 M_2 M_1 + M_2{M_1}^2 \tag{5.22}$$

In Eq.(5.21), terms in the first parenthesis all have three M_1 multiplied with one M_2, which are contained in the contraction of $M_1 * *M_1 * *M_1 * *M_2$:

$$\begin{aligned} &-({M_1}^3 M_2 + permu.) + \frac{1}{3}\mathrm{Tr}({M_1}^3)M_2 + \mathrm{Tr}({M_1}^2 M_2)M_1 + \mathrm{Tr}(M_1 M_2){M_1}^2 \\ &+\frac{1}{2}(M_1 M_2 + M_2 M_1)\mathrm{Tr}({M_1}^2) - \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}({M_1}^2)\mathrm{Tr}(M_2)M_1 + (\mathrm{Tr}M_2){M_1}^3 = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{5.23}$$

This expression equals zero trivially, since the matrices here are all 3×3 and the mesons consisting of more than three matrices must vanish. From this equation, one can solve for $({M_1}^3 M_2 + permu.)$ in terms of more tractable terms. Similarly, the permutations of ${M_2}^2 M_1$ in the second parenthesis are contained in the contraction of $M_2 * *M_2 * *M_1$. Terms in the next parenthesis are contained in contraction of $M_2 * *M_3$. Finally the permutations of $M_3 M_1^2$ are contained in the contraction of $M_3 * *M_1 * *M_1$. After doing all the contractions and adding these four parts together, one gets the left hand side of the 5th order general matrix relation (5.21).

One can first prove that $M_2 * *M_2 * *M_1 = 0$ and $M_3 * *M_1 * *M_1 = 0$ hold as a result of trace relations of this order. Then combine these with the above argument, it is easy to see that the 5th order matrix relation implies the meson relation $M_2 * *M_3 = 0$. For the detail of the proof, see Appendix A.2.

6th order meson relations

$$\begin{aligned} M_3 * *M_2 * *M_1 &= 0 \\ M_3 * *M_3 &= 0 \\ M_2 * *M_2 * *M_2 &= 0 \end{aligned} \tag{5.24}$$

Note that $M_3 * *M_2 * *M_1 = 0$ is not an independent relation, since it can be derived from $M_3 * *M_2 = 0$. $M_2 * *M_2 * *M_2 = 0$ is a result of relation (5.18), because one can 'star' a M_2 to this relation and get

$$\frac{1}{2}M_2 * *M_2 * *M_2 + M_2 * *M_1 * *M_3 = 0 \tag{5.25}$$

But the second term is zero from $M_2 * *M_3 = 0$. So $M_3 * *M_3 = 0$ is the only remaining meson relation.

6th order general matrix relation

$$\begin{aligned} &{M_3}^2 + {M_2}^3 + {M_1}^6 + (M_3M_2M_1 + permu.) + (M_3{M_1}^3 + permu.) \\ &+ ({M_2}^2{M_1}^2 + permu.) + (M_2{M_1}^4 + permu.) = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{5.26}$$

One can show that the meson relation $M_3 * *M_3 = 0$ is equivalent to the 6th order general matrix relation, in a similar way as we prove that the 5th order matrix relation is equivalent to $M_2 * *M_3 = 0$. The details can be found in Appendix A.2 The above arguments hold in the general A_2-type balanced quivers. As we mentioned before, the trace and matrix relation only rely on shape of mirror quiver, while the meson relation depends on the number in each gauge node. Hence the fact that all the meson relations are equivalent to matrix relations and trace relations reflects that for A_2-type quivers the shape exerts strong constraint on the specific data in each node.

5.4 Why matrix relation terminates?

In the above proof, we divided the 5th order matrix relation into several parts and then converted each of them into meson relation. These meson relations behave like building blocks of our general matrix relations and trace relations. Now we want to use them to prove the dependence of general matrix relations of different orders, which will help us understand the second property of the general pattern.

5.4.1 Quiver [3]-(3)-(3)-[3]

We mentioned that the general matrix relation terminates at order 6, but the 7th order general matrix relation is also correct according to the proposition.

7th order matrix relation is

$$\begin{aligned} &{M_1}^7 + ({M_3}^2M_1 + permu.) + (M_3{M_2}^2 + permu.) + (M_3M_2{M_1}^2 + permu.) + (M_3{M_1}^4 \\ &+ permu.) + ({M_2}^3M_1 + permu.) + ({M_2}^2{M_1}^3 + permu.) + (M_2{M_1}^5 + permu.) = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{5.27}$$

Every small part in the parentheses corresponds to a meson, for example

$$\begin{aligned} {M_3}^2M_1 + permu. &= contraction\ of\ M_3 * *M_3 * *M_1 \\ M_3{M_2}^2 + permu. &= contraction\ of\ M_3 * *M_2 * *M_2 \\ M_3M_2{M_1}^2 + permu. &= contraction\ of\ M_3 * *M_2 * *M_1 * *M_1 \\ M_3{M_1}^4 + permu. &= contraction\ of\ M_3 * *M_1 * *M_1 * *M_1 * *M_1 \\ &\dots \end{aligned} \tag{5.28}$$

As the matrices are all 3×3, a meson with more than three matrices must be zero. So we only need to consider the first two terms $M_3 * *M_3 * *M_1 = 0$ and $M_3 * *M_2 * *M_2 = 0$. Subsequently, we refer to those mesons that are not trivially equal to zero as relevant ones. The vanishing of these two relevant mesons are actually the result of the previous relations: $M_3 * *M_3 = 0$ and $M_3 * *M_2 = 0$. Therefore the matrix relation of this order doesn't contain new information, and should not appear in PL.

5.4.2 Quiver [2]-(3)-(4)-[5]

Plethystic Logarithm

$$\text{PL} = [11]t + [11]t^2 + [11]t^3 - t^4 - ([11] + 2)t^5 - ([11] + 2)t^6 + \dots \tag{5.29}$$

The three generators are of spin 1, spin 2, spin 3. It has trace relations from 1st order to 6th order, and matrix relations from 5th order to 6th order. These trace and matrix relations are in the standard form according to the propositions we proved. So here we focus on their dependence.

5th order matrix relation (5.21) and trace relation (5.20) indicate $M_3 * *M_2 = 0$.

6th order trace relation

$$\text{Tr}(\frac{1}{2}{M_3}^2 + \frac{1}{3}{M_2}^3 + \frac{1}{6}{M_1}^6 + M_3M_2M_1 + M_3M_1M_2 + M_3{M_1}^3 + {M_2}^2{M_1}^2 + \frac{1}{2}(M_1M_2)^2 + M_2{M_1}^4) = 0 \tag{5.30}$$

The 6th order matrix relation (5.26) and trace relation indicate $M_3 * *M_3 = 0$.

So the 5th order and 6th order relations are enough to deduce the 7th order matrix relation, since it only contains two sets of relevant terms: ${M_3}^2M_1 + permu.$ and $M_3{M_2}^2 + permu.$ Therefore this relation is not independent and doesn't show up in the PL.

5.4.3 Quiver [1]-(3)-(5)-[7]

Plethystic Logarithm

$$\text{PL} = [11]t + [11]t^2 + [11]t^3 - t^4 - t^5 - ([11] + 2)t^6 - t^7 + \dots \tag{5.31}$$

It has trace relations from 1st order to 7th order, and matrix relation at 6th order.

7th order trace relation

$$\text{Tr}(\frac{1}{7}{M_1}^7 + {M_3}^2M_1 + M_3{M_2}^2 + (M_3M_2{M_1}^2 + \dots) + M_3{M_1}^4 + {M_2}^3M_1 + ({M_2}^2{M_1}^3 + \dots) + M_2{M_1}^5) = 0 \tag{5.32}$$

The 7th order trace relation itself is equivalent to $M_3 * *M_3 * *M_1 + M_2 * *M_2 * *M_3 = 0$, whose contraction implies the 7th order matrix relation.

The 6th order relations imply $M_3 * *M_3 = 0$, which is enough to derive the 8th order relations.

5.4.4 Quiver (3)-(6)-[9]

This is a complete intersection. Its Hilbert Series has a simple form and can be expressed using Plethystic Exponential.

Refiend Hilbert Series

$$\text{HS} = (1 - t^4)(1 - t^5)(1 - t^6)(1 - t^7)(1 - t^8)(1 - t^9)PE[[11]t + [11]t^2 + [11]t^3] \tag{5.33}$$

Plethystic Logarithm

$$\text{PL} = [11]t + [11]t^2 + [11]t^3 - t^4 - t^5 - t^6 - t^7 - t^8 - t^9 \tag{5.34}$$

The PL is finite and it only has trace relations ranging from 1st order to 9th order.

Similarly, the 7th order matrix relation is a consequence of 7th order trace relation. But the relation $M_3 * *M_3 = 0$ doesn't hold, due to the absence of 6th order matrix relation. Note that this is also a consequence of the fact that the rightmost node of mirror quiver is 2, while $M_3 * *M_3 = 0$ implies this number to be 1. It follows that the 8th and 9th order trace relations are not trivial.

5.4.5 General case

To count for the third property of the general pattern, note that for all the A_2 balanced quivers that are not complete intersections, the rightmost node is 1, while for complete intersections the rightmost node is 2. This means $M_k * *M_k = 0$ should always hold in the former case. It can also be deduced from the $2k$th order general trace and matrix relations in the non-complete intersection case.

For $(3k-1)$th order, the only relevant term is ${M_k}^2 M_{k-1} + permu.$, and for $3k$th order, the only relevant term is ${M_k}^3$. Therefore when $M_k * *M_k = 0$ holds, these two terms are equal to zero as a consequence of this. When $M_k * *M_k = 0$ doesn't hold, these two relations are not trivial and hence appear in the PL. This explains the third property.

This method of dividing the matrix relation into small parts could explain the last two properties of general pattern, but here we mention that for a special class of the quivers like $[k]$-(k)-(k)-$[k]$, we actually don't need the help of these mesons.

We denote the nth order general matrix relation with k generators as $EQM(n,k)$, then the following formula is correct:

$$\begin{aligned} &M_k EQM(n-k,k) + M_{k-1} EQM(n-k+1,k) + M_{k-2} EQM(n-k+2,k) \\ &+ ... + M_1 EQM(n-1,k) + EQM(n,k) = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{5.35}$$

This identity can be justified by considering an example.

For the quiver $[k]$-(k)-(k)-$[k]$, we already have matrix relations from $(k+1)$th order to $2k$th order, so we can use this equation to show that the $(2k+1)$th order matrix relation is not independent from the previous ones.

6 General A_n-type quiver: an attempt of complete description

6.1 General description

A-type balanced quivers beyond A_2 have the complication that they contain relations that cannot be cast into the form of matrix and trace equations.

We have mentioned how one could get meson relations and in what representations they transform. In A_2 case the generators are all 3×3 matrix which means that the second meson (in the form of $M_1 * *M_2$) is transformed in the same way as matrix relation, and they are equivalent. However, when the size of matrix becomes large, like in A_3 case, the second meson relation is no longer equivalent to the matrix relation. Instead, they appear independently. We give a mnemonic to judge which relations are equivalent: as $3 = 1+2 = 0+3$, matrix (can be seen as once anti-symmetrized meson) and second meson (twice anti-symmetrized) are 'equivalent', and the trace is 'equivalent' to third anti-symmetrized meson. In A_3 case, $4 = 2+2 = 1+3 = 0+4$, which means second meson is independent and matrix is identified with third meson, trace has the same d.o.f. as the forth meson.

According to this, one could easily predict that a new kind of relation (the relation that transforms in a new representation) will emerge at every A_n with n an odd number. For instance, the independent second meson appears at A_3, and the independent third meson appears at A_5, etc.

6.2 One generator case

One generator quiver, whose smallest gauge node is 1, is the simplest situation. According to Namikawa's theorem [12], in this case the moduli space is a variety which is the closure of nilpotent orbit of Lie algebra of its isometry group. For example, in the A_3 case, the four possible one

generator quivers are {1,2,3}, {1,2,2}, {1,2,1}, {1,1,1}. These correspond to the four partitions of 4: {4}, {3,1}, {2,2}, {2,1,1} from the maximal orbit to minimal orbit of $\mathfrak{sl}_4$. For the detail, please see [3]. According to their result, the generator of each quiver can be mapped to a Jordan matrix X_λ, and therefore the relations can be written down easily.

Generally, for the quiver whose mirror is (k_1)-...-(k_m)-[N], with m gauge nodes ($m \leq k_m$), there are m trace relations:

$$\mathrm{Tr}M = 0, \mathrm{Tr}M^2 = 0 \ldots \mathrm{Tr}M^m = 0 \tag{6.1}$$

There is a Matrix relation $M^{m+1} = 0$ at $(m+1)$th order and a rank relation of order $(k_m + 1)$:

$$\mathrm{rank}M \leq k_m \tag{6.2}$$

For integer m , $M^{m+1} = 0$ tells us that $\mathrm{rank}(M) \leq [\frac{m}{m+1}N]$[1] ($M$ is a N by N matrix). So if $m < k_m < [\frac{m}{m+1}N]$, a meson relation will appear at $(k_m + 1)$th order in the PL, which tells us $\mathrm{rank}(M) \leq k_m$ and puts a stronger constraint on M than the matrix relation does.

For similar reason, if $m = k_m$, when $k_m < [\frac{m}{m+1}N]$, the $(m+1)$th order relation should be a meson relation instead of a matrix relation. Otherwise, if $k_m \geq [\frac{m}{m+1}N]$, it will be a matrix relation.

For example, the quiver {{1,2,2},{0,1,2}} with mirror (1)-(2)-[4] has relations $\mathrm{Tr}M = 0$, $\mathrm{Tr}(M^2) = 0$ and $M^3 = 0$. The quiver {{1,2,2,2},{0,1,0,2}} with mirror (1)-(2)-[5] has relations $\mathrm{Tr}M$=0, $\mathrm{Tr}(M^2) = 0$ and $M**M**M = 0$. Because this relation means $\mathrm{rank}(M) \leq 2$, while $M^3 = 0$ only guarantees $\mathrm{rank}(M) \leq [\frac{2}{3}N] = 3$.

In $2(k_{m-1} + 1)$th order, there is a relation

$$\mathrm{rank}(M^2) \leq k_{m-1} \tag{6.3}$$

This is because $M^2 = dudu = dL_1R_1u$, where R_1L_1 is a $k_{m-1} \times k_{m-1}$ matrix. One can find the relation $\mathrm{rank}(M^n) \leq k_{m-n+1}$ by the similar reasoning. To see whether they are independent or not, one can write M into the Jordan matrix with all the diagonal elements being zero.

For example, the quiver with mirror (1)-(4)-[7] has a relation $\mathrm{rank}(M^2) \leq 1$, and it is independent from the previous relations.

6.3 General case

Generally speaking, the meson relation appears in most of the A_3-type quivers, but we still could find a set or more quivers which do not contain meson relations. We start by analyzing the pattern of these quivers.

6.3.1 Bare pattern: Quiver 242-246

See Table 1.These mirror quivers all have one gauge node 2 or 3 to the right of flavor node. This means the second meson relation emerges not before 6th order ($M_2**M_2**M_2 = 0$). So it will have no chance to interact with the trace and matrix relations before this order. We expect the pattern shares some similarities with the pattern in A_2-type quivers. Note that from top to the bottom, the length of left arm of the mirror quiver increases one by one. This could be compared with the A_2 quivers from [2]-(2)-(2)-[2] to (2)-(4)-[6] (see Appendix B).

Observe that the little difference between this pattern and the A_2 pattern is that the matrix relations in this case do not terminate at 4th order. Instead, they shift to higher order gradually and

[1] $[\frac{m}{m+1}N]$ denotes integer part of $\frac{m}{m+1}N$.

Quiver	Mirror	Relations	Unrefined HS
242		3rd:trace;matrix 4th:matrix	$(1+t^2)(1+t+t^2)^2(1+5t+21t^2+50t^3+91t^4+102t^5$ $+91t^6+50t^7+21t^8+5t^9+t^{10}))/(1-t)^{16}(1+t)^8$
243		3rd:trace 4th:matrix;trace 5th:matrix	$(1+t+t^2)^2(1+2t+11t^2+21t^3+50t^4+71t^5+92t^6+71t^7$ $+50t^8+21t^9+11t^{10}+2t^{11}+t^{12})/(1-t)^{18}(1+t)^7$
244		3rd: trace 4th:trace 5th:trace;matrix 6th:matrix	$(1+t^2)(1+t+t^2)(1+4t+14t^2+36t^3+85t^4+159t^5$ $+260t^6+353t^7+404t^8+353t^9+260t^{10}+159t^{11}+85t^{12}+36t^{13}$ $+14t^{14}+4t^{15}+t^{16})/(1-t)^{20}(1+t)^{10}$
245		3rd:trace 4th:trace 5th:trace 6th:trace;matrix	$(1+t^2)(1-t+t^2)(1+t+t^2)^2(1+t+t^2+t^3+t^4)$ $(1+4t^2+10t^4+4t^6+t^8)/(-1+t)^{22}(1+t)^9$
246		3rd:trace 4th:trace 5th:trace 6th:trace 7th:trace 8th:trace	$(1-t^3)(1-t^4)(1-t^5)(1-t^6)(1-t^7)(1-t^8)/(1-t)^{15}(1-t^2)^{15}$

Table 1. Quiver 242-246

finally terminate at 6th order for (2)-(4)-(5). An obvious explanation is that our discussion of A_2-type quiver relies on the condition that the matrix is of 3×3, while in the present case with larger matrix size, the pattern need some modification.

Recall that for m generators, k, $(k+1)$, $(k+2)\ldots$ $(k+m-1)$th order general matrix relations together indicate the general matrix relation of $(k+m)$th order (see (5.35)). Therefore, the absence of 5th order relation in first row of the table is easy to understand, since there are two matrix relations present in 3rd and 4th order.

The 4th order trace relation can be deduced by first multiplying M_1 to the 3rd order matrix relation, then take trace:

$$\mathrm{Tr}({M_1}^4+2M_2{M_1}^2)=0 \tag{6.4}$$

Take trace of the 4th order general matrix relation

$$\mathrm{Tr}({M_1}^4+{M_2}^2+3M_2{M_1}^2)=0 \tag{6.5}$$

Combine (6.4) and (6.5), one gets the 4th order general trace relation.

In a complete analogy of the above deduction one can show that the second row and third row of the table also have a similar explanation. Note that they always have two matrix relations, and this

is enough to deduce the subsequent matrix relations as well as trace relations. In this sense, these patterns in general A_n-type quivers are easier to understand than in the A_2 quivers.

For the last two rows of the table, we do need the information about size of matrix. The fact that the matrices are 4×4 allows us to derive the higher order matrix relations by just one matrix equation for quiver 245, and by just trace relations for quiver 246. The proof can be found in Appendix A.3

Note that from the forth row of the table to the last row, the number in rightmost node changes from 2 to 3. This counts the increasing of two trace relations at 7th and 8th order. It is a common feature shared with the A_2-type quiver (see the third property of general pattern of A_2).

To summarize, the above discussions are quite general for all A-type balanced quivers without the interference of the meson relations. If there are k generators, it will start with k matrix relations and $2k-1$ trace relations. Then the matrix relations shift to higher order gradually with their number fixed, while the number of trace relations increases one by one in this process. Finally, the matrix relation terminates at some order, and the number of trace relations increases by two in the last step. As in this pattern the meson relation's influence is absent, we refer to it as the bare pattern.

6.3.2 Modified pattern: Quiver 232-234

See Table 2. Their mirrors all have a gauge node 1 to the right of flavor node. This gives a second meson relation in 4th order $M_2 * *M_2 = 0$ ($(M_2)_{[i}{}^{[j}(M_2)_{k]}{}^{l]} = 0$).

Quiver	Mirror	Relations	Unrefined HS
232		3rd:trace;matrix 4th:matrix;$M_2 * *M_2 = 0$	$(1+6t+31t^2+99t^3+237t^4+385t^5+462t^6$ $+385t^7+237t^8+99t^9+31t^{10}+6t^{11}+t^{12})/(1-t)^{14}(1+t)^5$
233		3rd:trace 4th:trace;matrix; $M_2 * *M_2 = 0$	$(1+t+t^2)(1+4t+19t^2+56t^3+117t^4+204t^5+214t^6$ $+204t^7+117t^8+56t^9+19t^{10}+4t^{11}+t^{12})/(1-t)^{16}(1+t)^6$
234		3rd:trace 4th:trace;$M_2 * *M_2 = 0$ 5th:trace	$(1+t^2)^2(1+t+t^2)(1+8t^2+t^4)(1+t+t^2+t^3+t^4)$ $/(1-t)^{18}(1+t)^5$

Table 2. Quiver 232-234

Note that the trace relation still increases order by order. However, matrix relation terminates at 4th order in this case. We attribute the difference of this pattern from the previous one to the presence of a second meson relation. To understand how the meson relation interacts with matrix and trace relations and makes them terminate, we focus on the 5th order matrix relation, which has only two relevant terms:

$$({M_2}^2 M_1 + permu.) + (M_2 {M_1}^3 + permu.) \tag{6.6}$$

The first one is contained in the contraction of $M_2 * *M_2 * *M_1$, which is zero due to the second meson relation $M_2 * *M_2 = 0$. The second one is contained in the contraction of $M_2 * *M_1 * *M_1 * *M_1 =$

0. There are two ways to deduce this meson equation, by making use of the 4th order matrix relation or the 5th order trace relation respectively.

Note that the first two rows of this table, quiver 232 and 233, both have 4th order matrix relations. The last row, quiver 234, has 5th order trace relation. Hence for all of them, the 5th order matrix relation can be proved to be dependent on the lower order relations. The detail of the proof can be found in Appendix A.4.

-Another example: Quiver 343-345

This example contains quivers from (3)-(4)-(3) to (3)-(4)-(5). See Table 3.

Quiver	Mirror	Relations	Unrefined HS
343		4th:trace;matrix 5th:trace;matrix; $M_2 * *M_3 = 0$ 6th:matrix;$M_3 * *M_3 = 0$	$(1+t^2)(1+7t+36t^2+149t^3+517t^4+1545t^5$ $+4038t^6+9249t^7+18614t^8+32948t^9+51320t^{10}$ $+70372t^{11}+85039t^{12}+90576t^{13}+85039t^{14}+70372t^{15}$ $+51320t^{16}+32948t^{17}+18614t^{18}+9249t^{19}+4038t^{20}$ $+1545t^{21}+517t^{22}+149t^{23}+36t^{24}+7t^{25}+t^{26})/$ $(1-t)^{20}(1+t)^6(1+t+t^2)^6$
344		4th:trace 5th:trace;matrix; $M_2 * *M_3 = 0$ 6th:trace;matrix; $M_3 * *M_3 = 0$	$(1+t^2)(1+8t+41t^2+169t^3+597t^4+1828t^5$ $+4949t^6+11961t^7+25879t^8+50209t^9+87604t^{10}$ $+137690t^{11}+195208t^{12}+250083t^{13}+290037t^{14}$ $+304716t^{15}+290037t^{16}+250083t^{17}+195208t^{18}$ $+137690t^{19}+87604t^{20}+50209t^{21}+25879t^{22}+11961t^{23}$ $+4949t^{24}+1828t^{25}+597t^{26}+169t^{27}+41t^{28}+8t^{29}$ $+t^{30})/(1-t)^{22}(1+t)^9(1+t+t^2)^6$
345		4th:trace 5th:trace;$M_2 * *M_3 = 0$ 6th:trace;matrix; $M_3 * *M_3 = 0$ 7th:trace	

Table 3. Quiver 343-345

Note that for these quivers the two second meson relations $M_2 * *M_3 = 0$ and $M_3 * *M_3 = 0$ are satisfied. The matrix relation terminates at 6th order. This means we need to prove that the 7th order general matrix relation is not independent from the lower order relations.

The 7th order matrix relation contains four relevant terms:

$(M_3{}^2 M_1 + permu.) + (M_3 M_2{}^2 + premu.) + (M_3 M_2 M_1^2 + permu.) + (M_2{}^3 M_1 + permu.) + \ldots$

Again, the first three terms are controlled by $M_3 * *M_3 = 0$ and $M_2 * *M_3 = 0$ respectively, so we only need to discuss the relation $M_2 * *M_2 * *M_2 * *M_1 = 0$. Using the 6th order general matrix relation and the two meson relations, one is able to prove that $M_2 * *M_2 * *M_2 = 0$ and $M_3 * *M_1 * *M_1 * *M_1 + \frac{3}{2} M_2 * *M_2 * *M_1 * *M_1 = 0$. Just $M_2 * *M_2 * *M_2 = 0$ is enough to prove the relation $M_2 * *M_2 * *M_2 * *M_1 = 0$ (and hence the higher order relations). The proof can be found in Appendix A.5.

In summary, we have seen that independent second meson relations could modify the bare pattern discussed above. We refer to these patterns as the modified ones.

6.4 Do we have predictability?

Calculation of Hilbert Series can be very tough for quivers with large size. But fortunately, the method in the above discussion could help us find the relations even when the Hilbert Series is unknown.

We try to give the relations of A_4-type quiver with two generators, of which the Hilbert Series is hard to compute. The results are listed in the Appendix B.

7 Balanced D-type quiver

The D-type balanced quiver may also have some interesting patterns. It probably still holds that the smallest node determines the number of generators. Here we study the simplest examples:

7.1 2211



Figure 7. quiver 2211

To save space, here we use the symbol $\mu_1{}^{n_1}\mu_2{}^{n_2}\mu_3{}^{n_3}\mu_4{}^{n_4}$ to represent $[n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4]$. The Hibert Series written in this form is called Highest Weight Generating Function (HWG) [6]. For the Plethystic Logarithm, It's very important to distinguish between two cases: PL of HS and PL of HWG. They are different because of the different multiplication rules. In the former case the multiplication of two representation, for example $\mu_2 \times \mu_2$, is $\mu_2{}^2 + \mu_1\mu_3\mu_4 + \mu_1{}^2 + \mu_3{}^2 + \mu_4{}^2 + \mu_2 + 1$, while in the latter case this product is $\mu_2{}^2$. To avoid confusion, in the following we denote the fugacity of HWG and PL of HWG by μ, and denote the fugacity of HS and PL of HS by ν.

The PL of HS is

$$\nu_1 t + \left(-\nu_3{}^2 - \nu_4{}^2 - 1\right) t^2 + \left(\nu_3{}^2 + \nu_1\nu_4\nu_3 + \nu_4{}^2\right) t^3 + \dots \tag{7.1}$$

So there is only one generator of spin 1, and relations of 2nd order in representation $1 + [0020] + [0002]$.

The mirror of this theory is nilpotent orbit $[D_4] - (C_1) - (B_0)$. In the following, i,j=1,2,...8 is in the SO(8) group, $\alpha, \beta = 1, 2$ in the SP(1) group, Ω is the invariant tensor of Symplectic group. $A_{i\alpha}$ is the half-hypers between O(8) and SP(1), B_α is the half-hypers between SP(1) and O(1).

Generator:

$$M = A\Omega A^T, \ 8 \times 8 \text{ matrix} \tag{7.2}$$

F-term equations:

$$A^T A = BB^T, \tag{7.3}$$

and

$$B^T \Omega B = 0 \tag{7.4}$$

We denote the generator as M, which is a 8 by 8 matrix with rank 2. So the Pfaffian of 4 by 4 minor vanishes:

$$\epsilon^{i_1 i_2 i_3 i_4}_{j_1 j_2 j_3 j_4} M^{j_1 j_2} M^{j_3 j_4} = 0 \tag{7.5}$$

This relation is in [0020]+[0002]. In addition to this relation, there is a trace relation $\mathrm{Tr}(M^2)$ is this order.

7.2 2322



Figure 8. quiver 2322

In this example, the Highest Weight Generating function has a nice form:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{HWG} =& \frac{1-{\mu_1}^2{\mu_3}^2{\mu_4}^2 t^6}{(1-\mu_2 t)\,(1-{\mu_1}^2 t^2)\,(1-\mu_2 t^2)\,(1-{\mu_3}^2 t^2)\,(1-\mu_1\mu_3\mu_4 t^3)^{\,2}\,(1-{\mu_4}^2 t^2)} \\ =& 1+\mu_2 t+\left({\mu_1}^2+{\mu_2}^2+{\mu_3}^2+{\mu_4}^2+\mu_2\right)t^2+({\mu_2}^3+{\mu_2}^2+{\mu_1}^2\mu_2+{\mu_3}^2\mu_2 \\ &+{\mu_4}^2\mu_2+2\mu_1\mu_3\mu_4)t^3+({\mu_2}^4+{\mu_1}^2{\mu_2}^2+{\mu_2}^2{\mu_3}^2+{\mu_2}^2{\mu_4}^2+{\mu_2}^3+2\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3\mu_4 \\ &+{\mu_1}^4+{\mu_3}^4+{\mu_4}^4+{\mu_1}^2{\mu_3}^2+{\mu_1}^2{\mu_4}^2+{\mu_3}^2{\mu_4}^2+\mu_2{\mu_1}^2+\mu_2{\mu_3}^2+\mu_2{\mu_4}^2+{\mu_2}^2)t^4+\ldots\end{aligned} \tag{7.6}$$

The PL of HS is

$$\nu_2 t+(\nu_2-1)\,t^2+\left(-{\nu_1}^2-{\nu_3}^2-{\nu_4}^2-3\nu_2-1\right)t^3+\left({\nu_1}^2-{\nu_2}^2+{\nu_3}^2+{\nu_4}^2+3\nu_2\right)t^4+\ldots \tag{7.7}$$

This Hilbert Series coincide with the HS of Higgs branch of the theory $[SO(8)]-(C_2)-(SO(2))$, which can be calculated by first writing down the Hilbert Series without gauge invariant constraint and then doing Molien-Weyl integration to project the operators to gauge invariant terms [7]:

$$\mathrm{HS}^{[SO(8)]-C_2-SO(2)}_{Higgs} = \oint_{SO(2),C_2} d\mu \frac{PE\left[[vector]_{SO(8)}\otimes[fund]_{C_2}t+[fund]_{C_2}\otimes[vec]_{SO(2)}t\right]}{PE\left[[adjoint]_{SO(2)}t^2+[adjoint]_{C_2}t^2\right]} \tag{7.8}$$

The unrefiend calculation shows that it is the same as the 2322 Coulomb branch HS. It indicates the two moduli space are the same. Denoting the half-hypers between SO(8) and C_2 as

$$A_{i\alpha}, \quad i\ from\ 1\ to\ 8\ in\ SO(8),\ \alpha\ from\ 1\ to\ 4\ in\ C_2 \tag{7.9}$$

and the half-hypers between C_2 and SO(2) as

$$B_{\alpha r}, \quad \alpha \ from\ 1\ to\ 4\ in\ C_2,\ r\ from\ 1\ to\ 2\ in\ SO(2) \tag{7.10}$$

These two operators correspond to the numerator of integrand of Eq.(7.8). They satisfy the F-term equations

$$\begin{aligned} A^T A &= BB^T \\ B^T \Omega B &= 0 \end{aligned} \tag{7.11}$$

These two equations correspond to the denominator of the integrand of Eq.(7.8). From the quiver fields we can construct two independent generators M_1 and M_2 with spin one and spin two respectively

$$\begin{aligned} M_1 &= A\Omega A^T \\ M_2 &= A\Omega B\epsilon B^T \Omega A^T \end{aligned} \tag{7.12}$$

Note that the second generator changes sign under Z_2 action which interchanges $B_{\alpha 1}$ with $B_{\alpha 2}$ and thus is not invariant under the full group of O(2). But it is an invariant of the gauge group SO(2).

Most of the relations can be found by merely looking at the two functions (7.6) and (7.7). The prescription is that when an operator is constructed transforming under certain representation, and if the HS (HWG) doesn't contain this term, one can conclude that it must vanish due to some relations. By this method, we can find all the following relations.

Relations

2nd order

$$\mathrm{Tr}({M_1}^2) = 0 \tag{7.13}$$

3rd order

$$\begin{aligned} &[2000] + [0000]: \ M_1 M_2 + M_2 M_1 = 0 \\ &[0020] + [0002]: \ \epsilon_{i_1 i_2 i_3 i_4 j_1 j_2 j_3 j_4} (M_1)^{j_1 j_2} (M_2)^{j_3 j_4} = 0 \\ &3[0100]: \qquad M_1 M_2 - M_2 M_1 = 0,\ {M_1}^3 = 0,\ \epsilon_{i_1 i_2 j_1 j_2 j_3 j_4 j_5 j_6} (M_1)^{j_1 j_2} (M_1)^{j_3 j_4} (M_1)^{j_5 j_6} = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{7.14}$$

In 4th order, there are two nontrivial terms in chiral ring transforming under [0200] and one combination of them is relation. One [0200] can be constructed purely from M_1:

$$(M_1^2)_{[' i_1 [i_3} (M_1^2)_{i_2]' i_4]} \tag{7.15}$$

The index structure implies that it transforms under

$$sym^2[0100] = [2000] + [0200] + [0020] + [0002] \tag{7.16}$$

However, when two indices are contracted, one gets the combination of ${M_1}^4$ and $\mathrm{Tr}({M_1}^2){M_1}^2$. All of them equal zero as a consequence of the lower order relations. Antisymmetrizing the four indices also gives zero since ${M_1}^2$ is symmetric matrix. Therefore, the expression (7.15) actually transforms under representation [0200].

Another term can be constructed from M_2:

$$\begin{aligned} &(M_2)_{i_1 i_2} (M_2)_{i_3 i_4} - \epsilon^{i_5 i_6 i_7 i_8}_{i_1 i_2 i_3 i_4} (M_2)_{i_5 i_6} (M_2)_{i_7 i_8} + \frac{1}{6} ({M_2}^2)_{i_1 i_3} \delta_{i_2 i_4} - \frac{1}{6} ({M_2}^2)_{i_1 i_4} \delta_{i_2 i_3} - \frac{1}{6} ({M_2}^2)_{i_2 i_3} \delta_{i_1 i_4} \\ &+ \frac{1}{6} ({M_2}^2)_{i_2 i_4} \delta_{i_1 i_3} - \mathrm{Tr}({M_2}^2) (\frac{1}{42} \delta_{i_1 i_3} \delta_{i_2 i_4} - \frac{1}{42} \delta_{i_1 i_4} \delta_{i_2 i_3}) \end{aligned} \tag{7.17}$$

This also transforms under [0200]. Note that in the 4th order of HWG (7.6), no term transforms under [2000] and [0020]+[0002]. This implies that $(M_2)^2 = 0$ and $\epsilon_{i_1 i_2 i_3 i_4}^{i_5 i_6 i_7 i_8}(M_2)_{i_5 i_6}(M_2)_{i_7 i_8} = 0$. As a consequence, we can simplify the expression (7.17) to

$$(M_2)_{i_1 i_2}(M_2)_{i_3 i_4} \tag{7.18}$$

The [0200] relation appearing in 4th order of PL should be a combination of these two terms (7.15) and (7.18), which is parimetrized by a constant a

$$(M_1^2)_{[\prime i_1 [i_3}(M_1^2)_{i_2]\prime i_4]} + a(M_2)_{i_1 i_2}(M_2)_{i_3 i_4} = 0 \tag{7.19}$$

By analyzing the Higgs branch of theory [SO(8)]-C_2-(SO(2)), a is determined to be $-\frac{1}{2}$. The proof of these relations can be found in Appendix A.7.

Interestingly the moduli space of this theory coincides with the moduli space of nilpotent orbit $\{3, 2^2, 1\}$[1] when the generator M_2 is set to zero.

The HWG of theory $\{3, 2^2, 1\}$ can be found in [7]:

$$\text{HWG} = \frac{1 + \mu_1\mu_3\mu_4 t^3}{(1-\mu_2 t)(1-{\mu_1}^2 t^2)(1-{\mu_3}^2 t^2)(1-{\mu_4}^2 t^2)} \tag{7.20}$$

Denote the only generator as M. The relations are:

2nd order:

$$\text{Tr}M^2 = 0 \tag{7.21}$$

3rd order:

$$\begin{gathered} M^3 = 0 \\ \epsilon_{i_1 i_2 j_1 j_2 j_3 j_4 j_5 j_6} M^{j_1 j_2} M^{j_3 j_4} M^{j_5 j_6} = 0 \end{gathered} \tag{7.22}$$

4th order:

$$\text{rank}(M^2) \leq 1 \tag{7.23}$$

So if we set $M_2 = 0$ in quiver 2322, the relations (7.13), (7.14), (7.19) of M_1 match exactly with the relations of M. On the other hand, considering the relations composed only of M_2:

$$\begin{gathered} (M_2)^2 = 0 \\ \epsilon_{i_1 i_2 i_3 i_4}^{i_5 i_6 i_7 i_8}(M_2)_{i_5 i_6}(M_2)_{i_7 i_8} = 0 \end{gathered} \tag{7.24}$$

which implies that the moduli space generated by M_2 alone coincides with the moduli space of one SO(8)-instanton. Therefore the moduli space of 2322 can be seen as the two matrices generalization of nilpotent orbit, with the fourth order rank relation of M_1 (7.23) being corrected by M_2 (see (7.19)).

Acknowledgments

G. C., Y. L. and Y. Z. are very grateful to Santiago Cabrera, Rudolph Kalveks, Giulia Ferlito and Zhenghao Zhong for helpful conversations, and to the USTC/Imperial Undergraduate Research Program that made possible this collaboration. A. H. would like to thank the Indian Institute of Science Education and Research Pune, and Tata Institute of Fundamental Research at Mumbai for their kind hospitality during the last stages of this project. A. H. is supported by STFC Consolidated Grant ST/J0003533/1, and EPSRC Programme Grant EP/K034456/1.

[1] this is the partition of the theory as used in [7]

A Details of calculations

A.1 Quiver [2]-(2)-(2)-[2]

3rd order

First, we prove that the matrix and trace relation of this order imply second meson and determinant relation.

$\mathrm{Tr}(\frac{1}{3}{M_1}^3 + M_1M_2) = 0$ and $\mathrm{Tr}({M_1}^3 + 2M_1M_2) = 0$ (trace of (5.8)) indicate $\mathrm{Tr}({M_1}^3) = 0$. We also know that $\mathrm{Tr}(M_1) = 0$, so $\mathrm{Det}(M_1) = 0$. Because $x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = 0$ and ${x_1}^3 + {x_2}^3 + {x_3}^3 = 0$ imply $x_1x_2x_3$=0.

Using Cayley-Hamilton formula[2]

$$ {M_1}^3 - \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}({M_1}^2)M_1 = 0 \tag{A.1} $$

and the 3rd order general matrix relation

$$ {M_1}^3 + M_1M_2 + M_2M_1 = 0 \tag{A.2} $$

one gets

$$ -\mathrm{Tr}(M_2)M_1 + M_1M_2 + M_2M_1 = 0 \tag{A.3} $$

This is the just the contraction of meson relation (5.5) we promise to derive. As every step is reversible, the matrix relation of 3rd order is equivalent to the second meson relation $(M_1)_{[i}{}^{[j}(M_2)_{k]}{}^{l]} = 0$.

4th order

Now, we give a proof that the 4h order matrix relation is equivalent to the meson relation $M_2 * *M_2 = 0$.

Multiply the 3rd order general matrix relation by M_1 and take trace of it

$$ \mathrm{Tr}({M_1}^4 + 2{M_1}^2M_2) = 0 \tag{A.4} $$

This is equivalent to $M_1 * *M_1 * *M_2 = 0$.

As we can contract it twice

$$ -\mathrm{Tr}({M_1}^2)\mathrm{Tr}(M_2) + 2\mathrm{Tr}({M_1}^2M_2) = 0 \tag{A.5} $$

The equivalence follows from Cayley-Hamilton formula

$$ {M_1}^4 - \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}({M_1}^2){M_1}^2 = 0 \tag{A.6} $$

Contract $M_1 * *M_1 * *M_2 = 0$ once, we get a matrix relation

$$ -2\mathrm{tr}({M_1}^2)M_2 - 4\mathrm{tr}(M_1M_2)M_1 + 4(M_2{M_1}^2 + {M_1}^2M_2 + M_1M_2M_1) - 4{M_1}^2\mathrm{Tr}M_2 = 0 \tag{A.7} $$

Combine this with the matrix relation (5.11), one can derive

$$ {M_2}^2 - \mathrm{Tr}(M_2)M_2 = 0 \tag{A.8} $$

This is just the contraction of $M_2 * *M_2 = 0$.



Figure 9. Mirror of quiver (3)-(3)

A.2 Quiver [3]-(3)-(3)-[3]

The mirror is

First, We prove the relation $M_1 * *M_1 * *M_2 = 0$,

Proof:

$$(M_1)_i{}^j = d_i{}^\alpha u_\beta{}^j \delta_\alpha{}^\beta, i, j = 1, 2, 3; \alpha, \beta = 1, 2, 3;$$
$$(M_2)_k{}^l = d_k{}^\gamma u_\kappa{}^l Q_\gamma{}^\kappa, \text{where } Q_\gamma{}^\kappa = (r_1 l_1)_\gamma{}^\kappa = (r_1)_\gamma{}^1 (l_1)_1{}^\kappa$$

$$\begin{aligned}(M_1)_{[i}{}^{[j}(M_1)_m{}^n(M_2)_{k]}{}^{l]} &= d_{[i}{}^\alpha d_m{}^\mu d_{k]}{}^\gamma u_\beta{}^{[j} u_\nu{}^n u_\kappa{}^{l]} \delta_\alpha{}^\beta \delta_\mu{}^\nu Q_\gamma{}^\kappa \\ &= d_i{}^{[\alpha} d_m{}^\mu d_k{}^{\gamma]} u_{[\beta}{}^j u_\nu{}^n u_{\kappa]}{}^l \delta_\alpha{}^\beta \delta_\mu{}^\nu Q_\gamma{}^\kappa \\ &= d_i{}^\alpha d_m{}^\mu d_k{}^\gamma u_\beta{}^j u_\nu{}^n u_\kappa{}^l \delta_{[\alpha}{}^{[\beta} \delta_\mu{}^\nu Q_{\gamma]}{}^{\kappa]}\end{aligned} \tag{A.9}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_{[\alpha}{}^{[\beta}\delta_\mu{}^\nu Q_{\gamma]}{}^{\kappa]} &= \epsilon_{\beta\nu\kappa}\epsilon^{\alpha\mu\gamma}\delta_\alpha{}^\beta\delta_\mu{}^\nu Q_\gamma{}^\kappa \\ &= \epsilon_{\alpha\mu\kappa}\epsilon^{\alpha\mu\gamma}Q_\gamma{}^\kappa \\ &= 2\delta_\kappa{}^\gamma Q_\gamma{}^\kappa \\ &= 2\mathrm{Tr}(Q)\end{aligned} \tag{A.10}$$

Using F-term, we have $\mathrm{Tr}(Q) = 0$.

This relation can be derived from general matrix and trace relation (5.15) and (5.16). To see this, take trace of Eq.(5.15), and combine it with Eq.(5.16) to eliminate $\mathrm{Tr}(M_1M_3)$ and $\mathrm{Tr}(M_2^2)$

$$\mathrm{Tr}(\frac{1}{4}M_1{}^4 + \frac{1}{2}M_1{}^2M_2) = 0 \tag{A.11}$$

Then using Cayley-Hamilton theorem, we arrive at Eq.(5.14) again. This is equivalent to $M_1 * *M_1 * *M_2 = 0$.

Contract it once

$$-2\mathrm{Tr}(M_1{}^2)M_2 - 4\mathrm{Tr}(M_1M_2)M_1 + 4(M_2M_1{}^2 + M_1{}^2M_2 + M_1M_2M_1) - 4M_1{}^2\mathrm{Tr}M_2 = 0 \tag{A.12}$$

and solve from it that

$$M_2M_1{}^2 + M_1{}^2M_2 + M_1M_2M_1 = M_1{}^2\mathrm{Tr}M_2 + \mathrm{Tr}(M_1M_2)M_1 + \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}(M_1{}^2)M_2 \tag{A.13}$$

Substitute this to the general matrix relation of 4th order (5.15), one gets:

$$M_1{}^4 + M_2{}^2 + (M_1M_3 + M_3M_1) + M_1{}^2TrM_2 + \mathrm{Tr}(M_1M_2)M_1 + \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}(M_1{}^2)M_2 = 0 \tag{A.14}$$

[2]Since $\mathrm{Tr}(M_1)$=0, $p_2(\lambda) = \lambda_1\lambda_2 + \lambda_1\lambda_3 + \lambda_2\lambda_3 = -\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}(M_1{}^2)$, $\mathrm{Det}(M_1) = \frac{1}{3}\mathrm{Tr}(M_1{}^3)$

Then use the Cayley-Hamilton formula:

$$M_1{}^4 - \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}(M_1{}^2)M_1{}^2 - \frac{1}{3}\mathrm{Tr}(M_1{}^3)M_1 = 0 \tag{A.15}$$

we get:

$$M_2{}^2 + (M_1M_3 + M_3M_1) - \mathrm{Tr}(M_2)M_2 - \mathrm{Tr}(M_3)M_1 = 0 \tag{A.16}$$

This is just the contraction of $\frac{1}{2}M_2 * *M_2 + M_1 * *M_3 = 0$.
In the last step, we have used

$$\mathrm{Tr}(\frac{1}{2}M_1{}^2 + M_2) = 0 \tag{A.17}$$

and

$$\mathrm{Tr}(M_3 + M_1M_2 + \frac{1}{3}M_1{}^3) = 0 \tag{A.18}$$

5th order
The contraction of $M_1 * *M_1 * *M_1 * *M_2 = 0$ is

$$\begin{aligned} &-(M_1{}^3M_2 + permu.) + \frac{1}{3}\mathrm{Tr}(M_1{}^3)M_2 + \mathrm{Tr}(M_1{}^2M_2)M_1 + \mathrm{Tr}(M_1M_2)M_1{}^2 \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}(M_1M_2 + M_2M_1)\mathrm{Tr}(M_1{}^2) - \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}(M_1{}^2)\mathrm{Tr}(M_2)M_1 + (\mathrm{Tr}M_2)M_1{}^3 = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{A.19}$$

The contraction of $M_2 * *M_2 * *M_1 = 0$ is

$$\begin{aligned} &-4\mathrm{Tr}(M_1M_2)M_2 - 2\mathrm{Tr}(M_2{}^2)M_1 + 4(M_1M_2{}^2 + M_2M_1M_2 + M_2{}^2M_1) \\ &+ 2(\mathrm{Tr}(M_2))^2M_1 - 4(M_1M_2 + M_2M_1)\mathrm{Tr}(M_2) = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{A.20}$$

The contraction of $M_2 * *M_3 = 0$ is

$$M_2M_3 + M_3M_2 - \mathrm{Tr}(M_2)M_3 - \mathrm{Tr}(M_3)M_2 = 0 \tag{A.21}$$

The contraction of $M_3 * *M_1 * *M_1 = 0$ is

$$-2\mathrm{Tr}(M_1{}^2)M_3 - 4\mathrm{Tr}(M_1M_3)M_1 + 4(M_3M_1{}^2 + M_1{}^2M_3 + M_1M_3M_1) - 4M_1{}^2\mathrm{Tr}M_3 = 0 \tag{A.22}$$

Add them together, one gets:

$$\begin{aligned} &(M_1{}^3M_2 + permu.) + \frac{1}{3}\mathrm{Tr}(M_1{}^3)M_1{}^2 + \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}(M_1{}^2)\mathrm{Tr}(M_2)M_1 - (\mathrm{Tr}M_2)M_1{}^3 \\ &+ (M_1M_2{}^2 + M_2M_1M_2 + M_2{}^2M_1) + \frac{1}{2}(\mathrm{Tr}(M_2))^2M_1 + \frac{1}{4}\mathrm{Tr}(M_1{}^4)M_1 + M_2M_3 \\ &+ M_3M_2 + (M_3M_1{}^2 + M_1{}^2M_3 + M_1M_3M_1) = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{A.23}$$

Note that from Cayley-Hamilton formula:

$$M_1{}^4 - \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}(M_1{}^2)M_1{}^2 - \frac{1}{3}\mathrm{Tr}(M_1{}^3)M_1 = 0 \tag{A.24}$$

Take trace, we get

$$\mathrm{Tr}(M_1{}^4) = \frac{1}{2}(\mathrm{Tr}(M_1{}^2))^2 \tag{A.25}$$

Substitute to Eq.(A.23).

And observe that

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{3}\mathrm{Tr}({M_1}^3){M_1}^2+\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}({M_1}^2)\mathrm{Tr}(M_2)M_1-(\mathrm{Tr}M_2){M_1}^3\\&+\frac{1}{2}(\mathrm{Tr}(M_2))^2M_1+\frac{1}{8}(\mathrm{Tr}({M_1}^2))^2M_1\\=&\frac{1}{3}\mathrm{Tr}({M_1}^3){M_1}^2-(\mathrm{Tr}M_2){M_1}^3\\=&{M_1}^5\end{aligned}\tag{A.26}$$

Therefore, Eq.(A.23) is just the 5th order general matrix relation.

Now we want to use some trace equations to derive $M_2**M_2**M_1=0$ and $M_3**M_1**M_1=0$. 5th order general trace relation is

$$\mathrm{Tr}(\frac{1}{5}{M_1}^5+M_2{M_1}^3+{M_2}^2M_1+M_3M_2+M_3{M_1}^2)=0\tag{A.27}$$

Also make use of the 5th order general matrix relation and 4th order general matrix relation (multiplied by M_1). Taking trace of them

$$\mathrm{Tr}({M_1}^5+4M_2{M_1}^3+3{M_2}^2M_1+2M_3M_2+3M_3{M_1}^2)=0\tag{A.28}$$

$$\mathrm{Tr}({M_1}^5+3M_2{M_1}^3+{M_2}^2M_1+2M_3{M_1}^2)=0\tag{A.29}$$

With these three equations, we can get rid of the terms $\mathrm{Tr}(M_3{M_1}^2)$ and $\mathrm{Tr}(M_3M_2)$ to obtain

$$\frac{1}{10}\mathrm{Tr}({M_1}^5)+\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}(M_2{M_1}^3)+\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}({M_2}^2M_1)=0\tag{A.30}$$

Then take trace of Eq.(A.19)

$$\mathrm{Tr}(M_2{M_1}^3)=\frac{1}{3}\mathrm{Tr}({M_1}^3)\mathrm{Tr}(M_2)+\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}(M_1M_2)\mathrm{Tr}({M_1}^2)\tag{A.31}$$

Substitute it in last equation, one gets

$$-\mathrm{Tr}(M_1M_2)\mathrm{Tr}(M_2)+\mathrm{Tr}({M_2}^2M_1)=0\tag{A.32}$$

This is just the contraction of $M_2**M_2**M_1=0$.
In the last step, we have used the relation $\mathrm{Tr}(\frac{1}{2}{M_1}^2+M_2)=0$ and Cayley-Hamilton theorem

$$\mathrm{Tr}[{M_1}^2({M_1}^3-\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}({M_1}^2)M_1-\mathrm{Det}M_1)]=0\tag{A.33}$$

It's a similar procedure to get rid of the terms $\mathrm{Tr}({M_2}^2M_1)$ and $\mathrm{Tr}(M_3M_2)$ from Eq.(A.27)(A.28) and (A.29). Then one can derive that contraction of $M_1**M_1**M_3=0$.

6th order

We prove that M_3**M_3 can be derived from the 6th order general matrix relation

$$\begin{aligned}&{M_3}^2+{M_2}^3+{M_1}^6+(M_3M_2M_1+permu.)+(M_3{M_1}^3+permu.)\\&+({M_2}^2{M_1}^2+permu.)+(M_2{M_1}^4+permu.)=0\end{aligned}\tag{A.34}$$

We already know that $M_2**M_2**M_2=0$ and $M_1**M_2**M_3=0$ as consequences of lower order relations.

$M_3**M_1**M_1**M_1$, $M_2**M_2**M_1**M_1=0$ and $M_2**M_1**M_1**M_1**M_1=0$ trivially hold since the matrices are of 3×3.

Similar as in 5th order, the contractions of these terms are the constituents of (A.34). Hence, contraction of $M_3**M_3=0$ can be deduced by subtracting (A.34) by these contractions.

A.3 Quiver 245-246

Here, we mainly consider the quivers 245 and 246, as their relations are affected by the size of the matrix.

Consider 245 first. 6th order matrix relation

$$ {M_1}^6 + {M_2}^3 + ({M_2}^2{M_1}^2 + permu.) + \ldots = 0 \tag{A.35} $$

Take trace of it

$$ \mathrm{Tr}({M_1}^6 + {M_2}^3 + 2(M_2M_1)^2 + 4{M_2}^2{M_1}^2 + \ldots) = 0 \tag{A.36} $$

General trace relation of this order is

$$ \mathrm{Tr}(\frac{1}{6}{M_1}^6 + \frac{1}{3}{M_2}^3 + \frac{1}{2}(M_2M_1)^2 + {M_2}^2{M_1}^2 + \ldots) = 0 \tag{A.37} $$

Combine these two equations to eliminate the term $\mathrm{Tr}({M_2}^3)$, one gets

$$ \mathrm{Tr}(\frac{1}{2}{M_1}^6 + \frac{1}{2}(M_2M_1)^2 + {M_2}^2{M_1}^2 + \ldots) = 0 \tag{A.38} $$

Note that in this equation, only $\mathrm{Tr}(\frac{1}{2}(M_2M_1)^2 + {M_2}^2{M_1}^2)$ is relevant.

It indicates $M_2 * *M_2 * *M_1 * *M_1 = 0$.

Contract it for three times, we get a matrix relation that contains ${M_2}^2{M_1}^2 + permu.$

Substitute it in Eq.(A.35), and note that at 6th order only ${M_2}^2{M_1}^2 + permu. + {M_2}^3$ are relevant. Therefore $M_2 * *M_2 * *M_2 = 0$. With this relation, it is easy to show the 7th order relations are trivial.

For quiver 246, we do not have the 6th order matrix relation. Hence the above argument doesn't hold in this case and we cannot deduce the relation $M_2 * *M_2 * *M_2 = 0$. In fact, this relation cannot be correct since the rightmost node of mirror quiver is 3.

As a result, the 7th and 8th trace relations

$$ \begin{aligned} \mathrm{Tr}({M_2}^3M_1 + \ldots) = 0 \\ \mathrm{Tr}({M_2}^4 + \ldots) = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{A.39} $$

are not trivial. Note that we only write the relevant terms, and there is just one of them in both 7th and 8th order. Therefore, the matrix relations of these orders can be derived easily from these trace relations.

A.4 Quiver 232-234

We want to prove that the 5th order matrix relation can be deduced by the lower order relations.

For this purpose, we only need to deduce the relation $M_2 * *M_1 * *M_1 * *M_1 = 0$ as discussed in article.

4th order relations

$$ \begin{aligned} {M_1}^4 + {M_2}^2 + (M_2{M_1}^2 + M_1M_2M_1 + {M_1}^2M_2) = 0 \\ \mathrm{Tr}(\frac{1}{4}{M_1}^4 + \frac{1}{2}{M_2}^2 + M_2{M_1}^2) = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{A.40} $$

Take trace of the first equation. Combine it with the second to eliminate $\mathrm{Tr}({M_1}^2M_2)$, one gets

$$ \mathrm{Tr}(-\frac{1}{2}{M_2}^2 + \frac{1}{4}{M_1}^4) = 0 \tag{A.41} $$

Combining it with $M_2 * *M_2 = 0$, we get $M_1 * *M_1 * *M_1 * *M_1 = 0$.

Contract this relation to a matrix relation. After substituting it back to the matrix equation in (A.40), one finds $M_2 * *M_1 * *M_1 = 0$.

For quiver 232, we don't have 4th order trace relation. However, one can multiply the 3rd order matrix relation by M_1 and take trace of it to get another trace equation. Then the deduction is similar as before.

For quiver 234, the 4th order matrix relation is absent. But the 5th order trace relation can be utilized.

5th order trace relation is

$$\mathrm{Tr}({M_2}^2 M_1 + M_2 {M_1}^3 + \ldots) = 0 \tag{A.42}$$

Note that the first term $\mathrm{Tr}({M_2}^2 M_1)$ is trivial since $M_2 * *M_2 = 0$. Therefore, only second term is relevant. This trace relation is equivalent to the relation $M_2 * *M_1 * *M_1 * *M_1 = 0$.

A.5 Quiver 343-345

We want to prove that the two meson relations

$$\begin{aligned} M_2 * *M_3 &= 0 \\ M_3 * *M_3 &= 0 \end{aligned} \tag{A.43}$$

make the matrix relations terminate at order 6.

As discussed in article, we only need to analyze the 7th order relation

$$M_2 * *M_2 * *M_2 * *M_1 = 0 \tag{A.44}$$

Note that all of these quivers have the 6th order matrix relation

$$\begin{aligned} &{M_3}^2 + {M_2}^3 + {M_1}^6 + (M_3 M_2 M_1 + permu.) + (M_3 {M_1}^3 + permu.) \\ &+ ({M_2}^2 {M_1}^2 + permu.) + (M_2 {M_1}^4 + permu.) = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{A.45}$$

There is a trace equation, either from 5th order matrix relation multiplied by M_1, or from the 6th order general trace relation.

For example, the 6th order trace relation is

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathrm{Tr}(\frac{1}{2}{M_3}^2 + \frac{1}{3}{M_2}^3 + \frac{1}{6}{M_1}^6 + M_3 M_2 M_1 + M_3 M_1 M_2 + M_3 {M_1}^3 \\ &+ {M_2}^2 {M_1}^2 + \frac{1}{2}(M_2 M_1)^2 + M_2 {M_1}^4) = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{A.46}$$

Take trace of (A.45). Eliminate $\mathrm{Tr}({M_2}^3)$ using (A.46), the resulting equation is

$$\mathrm{Tr}(M_3 {M_1}^3 + {M_2}^2 {M_1}^2 + \frac{1}{2}(M_2 M_1)^2 + \ldots) = 0 \tag{A.47}$$

Only relevant terms are written explicitly. According to the rule of counting d.o.f, we conclude that

$$M_3 * *M_1 * *M_1 * *M_1 + \frac{3}{2} M_2 * *M_2 * *M_1 * *M_1 = 0 \tag{A.48}$$

Note that (A.45) can be written as contractions of

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{2}M_3**M_3+\frac{1}{6}M_2**M_2**M_2+\frac{1}{6!}\underbrace{M_1**M_1**\ldots**M_1}_{\#\ of\ M_1\ is\ 6}\\&+M_3**M_2**M_1+\frac{1}{6}M_3**M_1**M_1**M_1\\&+\frac{1}{4}M_2**M_2**M_1**M_1+\frac{1}{24}M_2**\underbrace{M_1**\ldots**M_1}_{\#\ of\ M_1\ is\ 4}=0\end{aligned}\tag{A.49}$$

Combining this with the two meson relations, we conclude that $M_2**M_2**M_2=0$.

A.6 Quiver [3]-(3)-(3)-(3)-[3]

Here we derive the 4th order meson relation of this quiver, which is $\frac{1}{2}M_2**M_2+M_1**M_3=0$.

Proof: As in the above proof, we write $M_2=dr_1l_1u=dQu$, $M_3=dr_1r_2l_2l_1u=dQ^2u$

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{2}M_2**M_2+M_1**M_3\\=&d^{\alpha}_{[i}\ d^{\beta}_{j]}\ u^{[k}_{\gamma}\ u^{l]}_{\rho}(\frac{1}{2}Q^{\gamma}_{\alpha}\ Q^{\rho}_{\beta}+\delta^{\gamma}_{\alpha}\ (Q^2)^{\rho}_{\beta})\end{aligned}\tag{A.50}$$

Therefore, we only need to prove that

$$\frac{1}{2}Q^{[\gamma}_{[\alpha}\ Q^{\rho]}_{\beta]}+\delta^{[\gamma}_{[\alpha}\ (Q^2)^{\rho]}_{\beta]}=0\tag{A.51}$$

It's not very obvious, so we do a contraction:

$$Q^2-\mathrm{Tr}(Q)Q+2Q^2-\mathrm{Tr}(I)Q^2-\mathrm{Tr}(Q^2)I\tag{A.52}$$

Where I is a 3×3 identity matrix.

So the vanishing of above equation follows from the fact that $\mathrm{Tr}(I)=3$ and $\mathrm{Tr}(Q^2)=0$.

A.7 Higgs branch of $[SO(8)]-C_2-SO(2)$

We consider the Higgs branch of quiver $[SO(8)]-C_2-SO(2)$. Using the F-term equations (7.11), we can prove all the relations found in Coulomb branch of 2322.

2nd order

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Tr}(M_1^2)&=Tr(A\Omega A^TA\Omega A^T)\\&=\mathrm{Tr}(BB^T\Omega BB^T\Omega)=0\end{aligned}\tag{A.53}$$

3rd order

$$\begin{aligned}M_1M_2&=A\Omega A^TA\Omega B\epsilon B^T\Omega A^T\\&=A\Omega BB^T\Omega B\epsilon B^T\Omega A^T=0\end{aligned}\tag{A.54}$$

Similarly $M_2M_1=0$. And

$$\epsilon_{i_1i_2j_1j_2j_3j_4j_5j_6}(M_1)^{j_1j_2}(M_1)^{j_3j_4}(M_1)^{j_5j_6}=0\tag{A.55}$$

follows from $\mathrm{rank}(M_1)\leq 4$.

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned}&\epsilon_{i_1i_2i_3i_4j_1j_2j_3j_4}(M_1)^{j_1j_2}(M_2)^{j_3j_4}\\=&\epsilon_{i_1i_2i_3i_4j_1j_2j_3j_4}\Omega^{\alpha\beta}\Omega^{\gamma\theta}\Omega^{\sigma\rho}A_{j_1\alpha}A_{j_2\beta}\epsilon_{rs}A_{j_3\gamma}B_{\theta r}A_{j_4\rho}B_{\sigma s}\end{aligned}\tag{A.56}$$

Simplify the expression by writing

$$\epsilon_{i_1i_2i_3i_4j_1j_2j_3j_4}A_{j_1\alpha}A_{j_2\beta}A_{j_3\gamma}A_{j_4\rho}\tag{A.57}$$

as

$$A_{i_1i_2i_3i_4}\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma\rho}\tag{A.58}$$

So equation (A.56) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}&A_{i_1i_2i_3i_4}\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma\rho}\Omega^{\alpha\beta}\Omega^{\gamma\theta}\Omega^{\sigma\rho}B_{\theta r}B_{\sigma s}\epsilon_{rs}\\=&\,2A_{i_1i_2i_3i_4}\Omega^{\gamma\rho}\Omega^{\gamma\theta}\Omega^{\sigma\rho}B_{\theta r}B_{\sigma s}\epsilon_{rs}\\=&\,2A_{i_1i_2i_3i_4}\Omega^{\sigma\theta}B_{\theta r}B_{\sigma s}\epsilon_{rs}=0\end{aligned}\tag{A.59}$$

4th order

$$\begin{aligned}&(M_1^2)_{[{}'i_1[i_3}(M_1^2)_{i_2]'i_4]}\\=&\Omega^{\alpha_1\beta_1}A_{[{}'i_1\alpha_1}A_{k\beta_1}\Omega^{\beta_3\alpha_3}A_{k\beta_3}A_{[i_3\alpha_3}\Omega^{\alpha_2\beta_2}A_{i_2]'\alpha_2}A_{l\beta_2}\Omega^{\beta_4\alpha_4}A_{l\beta_4}A_{i_4]\alpha_4}\\=&\Omega^{\alpha_1\beta_1}\Omega^{\alpha_2\beta_2}\Omega^{\alpha_3\beta_3}\Omega^{\alpha_4\beta_4}A_{[i_1\alpha_1}A_{i_2]\alpha_2}A_{[i_3\alpha_3}A_{i_4]\alpha_4}B_{\beta_1r}B_{\beta_2s}B_{\beta_3r}B_{\beta_4s}\end{aligned}\tag{A.60}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&(M_2)_{i_1i_2}(M_2)_{i_3i_4}\\=&\Omega^{\alpha_1\beta_1}\Omega^{\beta_2\alpha_2}\epsilon_{rs}A_{i_1\alpha_1}B_{\beta_1r}A_{i_2\alpha_2}B_{\beta_2s}\Omega^{\alpha_3\beta_3}\Omega^{\beta_4\alpha_4}\epsilon_{pq}A_{i_3\alpha_3}B_{\beta_3P}A_{i_4\alpha_4}B_{\beta_4q}\\=&\Omega^{\alpha_1\beta_1}\Omega^{\alpha_2\beta_2}\Omega^{\alpha_3\beta_3}\Omega^{\alpha_4\beta_4}A_{[i_1\alpha_1}A_{i_2]\alpha_2}A_{[i_3\alpha_3}A_{i_4]\alpha_4}\epsilon_{rs}\epsilon_{pq}B_{\beta_1r}B_{\beta_2s}B_{\beta_3p}B_{\beta_4q}\end{aligned}\tag{A.61}$$

It's easy to see that the two expressions (A.60) and (A.61) have the relation

$$2(M_1^2)_{[{}'i_1[i_3}(M_1^2)_{i_2]'i_4]}=(M_2)_{i_1i_2}(M_2)_{i_3i_4}\tag{A.62}$$

B Results of some A_n-type quiver

We list some of the results about A_2, A_3, A_4 and A_5 quivers in the end of this paper, including their PL, mirror and relations in each order.

References

[1] Sergio Benvenuti, Bo Feng, Amihay Hanany, and Yang-Hui He. Counting BPS Operators in Gauge Theories: Quivers, Syzygies and Plethystics. *JHEP*, 11:050, 2007. arXiv:hep-th/0608050.

[2] Vadim Borokhov, Anton Kapustin, and Xin-kai Wu. Topological disorder operators in three-dimensional conformal field theory. *JHEP*, 11:049, 2002. arXiv:hep-th/0206054.

[3] Santiago Cabrera and Amihay Hanany. Branes and the Kraft-Procesi Transition. *JHEP*, 11:175, 2016. arXiv:1609.07798.

[4] Stefano Cremonesi, Amihay Hanany, Noppadol Mekareeya, and Alberto Zaffaroni. T_ρ^σ (G) theories and their Hilbert series. *JHEP*, 01:150, 2015. arXiv:1410.1548.

[5] Stefano Cremonesi, Amihay Hanany, and Alberto Zaffaroni. Monopole operators and Hilbert series of Coulomb branches of $3d$ $\mathcal{N} = 4$ gauge theories. *JHEP*, 01:005, 2014. arXiv:1309.2657.

[6] Amihay Hanany and Rudolph Kalveks. Highest Weight Generating Functions for Hilbert Series. *JHEP*, 10:152, 2014. arXiv:1408.4690.

[7] Amihay Hanany and Rudolph Kalveks. Quiver Theories for Moduli Spaces of Classical Group Nilpotent Orbits. *JHEP*, 06:130, 2016. arXiv:1601.04020.

[8] Amihay Hanany and Noppadol Mekareeya. Complete Intersection Moduli Spaces in N=4 Gauge Theories in Three Dimensions. *JHEP*, 01:079, 2012. arXiv:1110.6203.

[9] Amihay Hanany and Marcus Sperling. Coulomb branches for rank 2 gauge groups in 3d $\mathcal{N} = 4$ gauge theories. *JHEP*, 08:016, 2016. arXiv:1605.00010.

[10] Amihay Hanany and Edward Witten. Type IIB superstrings, BPS monopoles, and three-dimensional gauge dynamics. *Nucl. Phys.*, B492:152–190, 1997. arXiv:hep-th/9611230.

[11] K. A. Intriligator and N. Seiberg. Mirror Symmetry in Three Dimensional Gauge Theories. *Phys. Lett.*, B 387 (1996) 513, 1996. arXiv:hep-th/9607207.

[12] Y. Namikawa. A characterization of nilpotent orbit closures among symplectic singularities. 2016. arXiv:1603.06105.

Quiver	PL	Mirror	Relation
11	$[11]t-(1+[11])t^2$		2nd:matrix
12	$[11]t-t^2-t^3$		2nd:trace 3rd:trace
22	$[11]t+[11]t^2-(2+[11])t^3-(1+[11])t^4$		3rd:trace;matrix 4th:matrix
23	$[11]t+[11]t^2-t^3-(2+[11])t^4$		3rd:trace 4th:trace;matrix
24	$[11]t+[11]t^2-t^3-t^4-t^5-t^6$		3rd:trace 4th:trace 5th:trace 6th:trace
33	$[11]t+[11]t^2+[11]t^3-(2+[11])t^4-(2+[11])t^5-(1+[11])t^6$		4th:trace;matrix 5th:trace;matrix 6th:matrix

Table 4. A_2 quiver(1)

34	$[11]t+[11]t^2+[11]t^3-t^4-(2+[11])t^5-(2+[11])t^6$		4th:trace 5th:trace;matrix 6th:trace;matrix
35	$[11]t+[11]t^2+[11]t^3-t^4-t^5-(2+[11])t^6-t^7$		4th:trace 5th:trace 6th:trace;matrix 7th:trace
36	$[11]t+[11]t^2+[11]t^3-t^4-t^5-t^6-t^7-t^8-t^9$		4th:trace 5th:trace 6th:trace 7th:trace 8th:trace 9th:trace
44	$[11]t+[11]t^2+[11]t^3+[11]t^4-(2+[11])t^5-(2+[11])t^6-(2+[11])t^7-(1+[11])t^8$		5th:trace;matrix 6th:trace;matrix 7th:trace;matrix 8th:matrix
45	$[11]t+[11]t^2+[11]t^3+[11]t^4-t^5-(2+[11])t^6-(2+[11])t^7-(2+[11])t^8$		5th:trace 6th:trace;matrix 7th:trace;matrix 8th:trace;matrix
46	$[11]t+[11]t^2+[11]t^3+[11]t^4-t^5-t^6-(2+[11])t^7-(2+[11])t^8-t^9$		5th:trace 6th:trace 7th:trace;matrix 8th:trace;matrix 9th:trace

Table 5. A_2 quiver(2)

47	$[11]t+[11]t^2+[11]t^3+[11]t^4-t^5-t^6-t^7-(2+[11])t^8-t^9-t^{10}$		5th:trace 6th:trace 7th:trace 8th:trace;matrix 9th:trace
48	$[11]t+[11]t^2+[11]t^3+[11]t^4-t^5-t^6-t^7-t^8-t^9-t^{10}-t^{11}-t^{12}$		5th-12th:trace

Table 6. A_2 quiver(3)

Quiver	PL	Mirror	Relation
111	$[101]t-([101]+[020]+1)t^2$		2nd:M**M=0
121	$[101]t-([101]+1)t^2$		2nd:matrix
122	$[101]t-t^2-([101]+1)t^3$		2nd:trace 3rd:matrix
123	$[101]t-t^2-t^3-t^4$		2nd:trace 3rd:trace 4th:trace
222	$[101]t+[101]t^2-(2[101]+[020]+2)t^3+(-[020]+[101]-1)t^4$		3rd:matrix;$M_1**M_2=0$ 4th:$M_2**M_2=0$
232	$[101]t+[101]t^2-([101]+2)t^3-([020]+2(101)+2)t^4$		3rd:trace;matrix 4th:matrix;$M_2**M_2=0$

Table 7. A_3 quiver(1)

233	$[101]t + [101]t^2 - t^3 - ([020] + 2[101] + 3)t^4$		3rd:trace 4th:trace;matrix; $M_2 * *M_2 = 0$
234	$[101]t + [101]t^2 - t^3 - ([020] + [101] + 2)t^4 - t^5$		3rd:trace 4th:trace;$M_2 * *M_2 = 0$ 5th:trace
242	$[101]t + [101]t^2 - ([101] + 2)t^3 - (1 + [101])t^4$		3rd:trace;matrix 4th:matrix
243	$[101]t + [101]t^2 - t^3 - (2 + [101])t^4 - (1 + [101])t^5$		3rd:trace 4th:matrix;trace 5th:matrix
244	$[101]t + [101]t^2 - t^3 - t^4 - ([101] + 2)t^5 - ([101] + 1)t^6$		3rd: trace 4th:trace 5th:trace;matrix 6th:matrix
245	$[101]t + [101]t^2 - t^3 - t^4 - t^5 - ([101] + 2)t^6$		3rd:trace 4th:trace 5th:trace 6th:trace;matrix

Table 8. A_3 quiver(2)

246	$[101]t + [101]t^2 - t^3 - t^4 - t^5 - t^6 - t^7 - t^8$		3rd:trace 4th:trace 5th:trace 6th:trace 7th:trace 8th:trace
333	$[101]t + [101]t^2 + [101]t^3 - ([020] + 2[101] + 3)t^4 - ([020] + 2(101) + 2)t^5 + (2(101) - [020] + 1)t^6$		4th:trace;matrix $\frac{1}{2}M_2 * *M_2 + M_1 * *M_3 = 0$; 5th:matrix;$M_2 * *M_3 = 0$ 6th:$M_3 * *M_3 = 0$
343	$[101]t + [101]t^2 + [101]t^3 - ([101] + 2)t^4 - (2(101) + [020] + 3)t^5 - (2(101) + [020] + 2)t^6$		4th:trace;matrix 5th:trace;matrix; $M_2 * *M_3 = 0$ 6th:matrix;$M_3 * *M_3 = 0$
344	$[101]t+[101]t^2+[101]t^3-t^4-([020]+2(101)+3)t^5-([020]+2(101)+3)t^6$		4th:trace 5th:trace;matrix; $M_2 * *M_3 = 0$ 6th:trace;matrix; $M_3 * *M3 = 0$
345	$[101]t+[101]t^2+[101]t^3-t^4-([020]+[101]+2)t^5-([020]+2[101]+3)t^6-t^7$		4th:trace 5th:trace;$M_2 * *M_3 = 0$ 6th:trace;matrix; $M_3 * *M_3 = 0$ 7th:trace
353	$[101]t+[101]t^2+[101]t^3-([101]+2)t^4-([101]+2)t^5-([020]+2[101]+2)t^6$		4th:trace;matrix 5th:trace;matrix 6th:matrix;$M_3 * *M_3 = 0$

Table 9. A_3 Quiver(3)

1111	$[1001]t-([1001]+[0110]+1)t^2$		2nd:$M_1**M_1=0$
1221	$[1001]t-([1001]+1)t^2$		2nd:matrix
1222	$[1001]t-t^2-([0110]+[1001]+1)t^3$		2nd:trace 3rd:$M_1**M_1**M_1=0$
1232	$[1001]t-t^2-([1001]+1)t^3$		2nd:trace 3rd:matrix
1233	$[1001]t-t^2-t^3-([1001]+1)t^4$		2nd:trace 3rd:trace 4th:matrix
1234	$[1001]t-t^2-t^3-t^4-t^5$		2nd:trace 3rd:trace 4th:trace 5th:trace
2222	$[1001]t+[1001]t^2-2([1001]+[0110]+1)t^3$		3rd:$M_1**M_2=0$; $M_1**M_1**M_1=0$
2332	$[1001]t+[1001]t^2-([1001]+2)t^3-(2[0110]+3[1001]+2)t^4$		3rd:trace;matrix 4th:matrix;$M_2**M_2=0$; $M_1**M_1**M_2=0$

Table 10. A4 Quiver

11111	$[10001]t-([01010]+[10001]+1)t^2$		2nd:$M_1**M_1=0$;
12221	$[10001]t-([10001]+1)t^2-([00200]-[10001])t^3$		2nd:matrix 3rd:$M_1**M_1**M_1=0$
12222	$[10001]t-t^2-([00200]+[01010]+[10001]+1)t^3$		2nd:trace; 3rd:$M_1**M_1**M_1=0$
12321	$[10001]t-([10001]+1)t^2$		2nd:matrix

Table 11. A5 Quiver

Mirror theory	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
{2,4,1} {0,5,0}	m	m; $M_2 * * M_2 = 0$						
{1,2,4,1} {0,0,5,0}	tr	tr;m; $M_2 * * M_2 = 0$	m					
{1,2,3,4,1} {0,0,0,5,0}	tr	tr; $M_2 * * M_2 = 0$	tr;m					
{1,2,3,4,5,1} {0,0,0,0,5,0}	tr	tr; $M_2 * * M_2 = 0$	tr	tr				
{2,4,2} {0,5,0}	tr; m	m	$M_2 * * M_2 * * M_1 = 0$	$M_2 * * M_2 * * M_2 = 0$				
{1,2,4,2} {0,0,5,0}	tr	tr; m	m; $M_2 * * M_2 * * M_1 = 0$	$M_2 * * M_2 * * M_2 = 0$				
{1,2,3,4,2} {0,0,0,5,0}	tr	tr	tr; m; $M_2 * * M_2 * * M_1 = 0$	$M_2 * * M_2 * * M_2 = 0$				
{1,3,5,2} {0,0,5,0}	tr	tr;m	m	$M_2 * * M_2 * * M_2 = 0$				
{1,2,3,5,2} {0,0,0,5,0}	tr	tr	tr; m	m; $M_2 * * M_2 * * M_2 = 0$				
{1,2,3,4,5,2} {0,0,0,0,5,0}	tr	tr	tr	tr; m; $M_2 * * M_2 * * M_2 = 0$				
{1,2,3,4,5,6,2} {0,0,0,0,0,5,0}	tr	tr	tr	tr;$M_2 * * M_2 * * M_2 = 0$	tr			
{2,4,6,3} {0,0,5,0}	tr	tr;m	m					
{1,2,4,6,3} {0,0,0,5,0}	tr	tr	tr;m	m				
{1,2,3,4,6,3} {0,0,0,0,5,0}	tr	tr	tr	tr; m	m			
{1,2,3,4,5,6,3} {0,0,0,0,0,5,0}	tr	tr	tr	tr	tr;m	m		
{1,2,3,4,5,6,7,3} {0,0,0,0,0,0,5,0}	tr	tr	tr	tr	tr	tr; m		
{1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,4} {0,0,0,0,0,0,5,0}	tr	tr	tr	tr	tr	tr	tr	tr

Table 12. Prediction of A_4 quiver